



PURCHASE CELL

DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH
SERVICES PUNJAB
24-COOPER ROAD, LAHORE



Primary & Secondary
Healthcare Department

Phone No.: +924299201145

Purchase Cell E-mail: pcdgghslahore@gmail.com

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 10th DECEMBER, 2020 AT 02:00 P.M TO REDRESS THE GRIEVANCE APPLICATIONS OF THE FIRMS AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF COVID-19 VIRAL NUCLEIC ACID EXTRACTION KITS (AUTOMATIC) AND EXTRACTION KIT MANUAL ON FRAMEWORK CONTRACT BASIS FOR CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19) TESTING, CD&EPC PROGRAM, FY 2020-21 ON EMERGENCY BASIS

A meeting of the Grievance Redressal Committee was held on 10th Dec, 2020 at 02:00 P.M in committee room of Directorate General Health Services, Punjab, to address the grievances of the applicants, as per Rule 67 of Punjab Procurement Rules, 2014 (Amended), for Procurement of Covid-19 Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kits (Automatic) and Extraction Kit Manual on framework contract basis for Coronavirus (COVID-19) Testing, CD&EPC Program, FY 2020-21 on emergency basis as per Rule 59 (c) of PPR-2014 (Amended), Directorate General Health Services, Punjab, FY 2020-21.

Following members of Grievance Redressal Committee attended the meeting:

Sr. No.	Participants	
1.	Director Health Services (EPI), O/o DGHS	Chairman/Convener
2.	Director Health Services (CD&EPC), O/o DGHS	Member
3.	Senior Law Officer (Litigation Cell), O/o DGHS	Member
4.	Additional Director Health Services (Medical), O/o DGHS	Member
5.	Director Health Services (MIS), O/o DGHS	Member

Following member(s) of the Technical Evaluation Committee presented the cases on behalf of the Technical Evaluation Committee:

Sr. No.	Member(s)
1.	Deputy Director Pharmacy, O/o DGHS

The Chair welcomed all the participants and briefed about agenda of meeting i.e. Grievance Redressal of firms against Technical Evaluation Report.

The Chair instructed the representatives of aggrieved firms to come one by one serial wise based on receipt of grievance so that proper hearing/ redressal of grievance may be ensured. The grievances of firms and decisions of grievance redressal committee are as follow:

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
1	M/s Global Marketing Services	Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kits (Automated) 64 Tests/Kit Cat # T014H with GeneRotox 96 Extractor Systems (China)	Non-responsive	The firm has quoted for 14 Units of Automatic Extraction System instead of 40 Units as per advertised requirements. Thus, offer does not fully conforms to advertised specifications.	<p>The make model & origin of the quoted product is available on our technical offer please review it.</p> <p>The maximum system Capacity/ throughput was not mentioned in advertised RFQ technical specifications & we have also submitted a clarification letter (Annex-1) to get information to quote Auto Extractor System with capacity or work load for number of sites. We have received information from department that in many of sites the work load is not being catered by 32 capacity and technicians have to work for extra manpower. So after this advice we have quoted.</p> <p>i) The maximum throughput capacity systems available in Pakistan are with 32 tests.</p> <p>a) 40 units of 32 tests capacity equals to 14 units of 96 capacity that are equal.</p> <p>$32 \times 40 = 1,280$ tests capacity is equal as</p> <p>$96 \times 14 = 1,344$ tests capacity.</p>	<p>Mr. Zafar Sardar, the representative of M/s Global Marketing Services, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee.</p> <p>The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report and unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm has quoted for 14 Units of Automatic Extraction System instead of 40 Units as per advertised specification requirements hence, offer does not fully conforms to advertised specifications and justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>2. The CE-IVD certificate of quoted product of M/s Biolife Technologies attached in technical bid has been reviewed and found satisfactory. Moreover, literature of product quoted by M/s Biolife Technologies does not indicate that it cannot be used for clinical/diagnosis purpose hence justification of firm is not acceptable hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>Thus overall grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Global Marketing Services for quoted item is declared as “Non-</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					<p>As per man hours calculation in many of sites are not able to complete tasks in 8hrs shifts and they have to cover extra ordinary hours.</p> <p>ii) Hence the quoted systems with 96 tests capacity GMS is the only solution provider company and can provide comprehensive optimized solutions to manage high work load with less manpower, less man hours & less space for overcome significantly increase testing capacity for 02nd wave of Covid 19. For example the high throughput sites like NDMA, COD, AFIP, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Hospital, National Institute of Virology Karachi are being catering by GMS and many of other Customer as a Complete solution.</p> <p>Grievance against M/s Biolife Technologies:</p> <p>a) As per literature available on Web Site URL (Annex-I1) http://aisenbio.com/a/chanpinzhongxin/chanpinfeileiyi/20180322/83.html</p> <p>b) and product photo attached at Annex-III.</p> <p>The Quoted Product Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit (Magnetic Beads) Cat # AS00164</p>	Responsive” whereas status of firm M/s Biolife Technologies for quoted item as declared by the Technical Evaluation Committee is Up-held.

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					Tests/Kit is not CE-IVD and does not compliance with Clinical/ Diagnosis purpose only). Hence the firm may be non-responsive.	
2	M/s Hoora Pharma	Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit Magnetic Beads Based 48 Tests/Kit with NE 48 Automated Nucleic Acid Extraction System (China)	Non-responsive	<p>1. Amount is hidden on copy of bid security attached with technical offer is hidden so not verifiable.</p> <p>2. Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>3. Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause is not provided.</p> <p>4. Undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not</p>	<p>We have participated in the above said tender & wants to subunit our grievances against objection raised in yesterday technical evaluation report as follow</p> <p>1. Amount is hidden on copy of bid security attached with technical offer is hidden so not verifiable.</p> <p>We have submitted bid security in shape of Bank Guarantee & Scan copies are enclosed</p> <p>Bank Guarantee is attached on 2% of Estimated Cost as required in tender.</p> <p>Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>We have quoted Genrui NE 48 Automatic Extraction, which is mentioned in our proposal.</p> <p>3. Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause is not provided. Enclosed with letter.</p> <p>4. Undertaking regarding</p>	<p>Mr. Faizan Rizvi, the representative of M/s Hoora Pharma, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee.</p> <p>The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. Amount of submitted bid security has been verified from copy of bid security with unhidden amount given with grievance application and found as per requirement.</p> <p>2. As the firm failed to produce any evidence of verifiable model/catalogue/ref. no. of quoted product from attached documents in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable as per Rule 33 (1) of PPR-2014 which states that "No bidder shall be allowed to alter or modify his bid after the closing time for the submission of the bids".</p> <p>3. As the firm did not attached Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause in technical bid hence justification of firm</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				attached in technical bid.	<p>declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid. Enclosed with letter.</p> <p>This is our humble request to accept our grievances, looking forward for transparency of the whole procurement process at your earliest.</p>	<p>is not acceptable.</p> <p>4. As the firm did not attach undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 technical bid as per requirement of knockdown clause of advertised evaluation criteria hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Hooraa Pharma for quoted item is declared as "Non-Responsive".</p>
3	M/s Ayyan Molecular Products	Viral RNA/DNA Extraction Kit Part # B 200 32 Tests/Kit with Semi Auto Extraction Machines of Zybion 32 (China)	Non-responsive	<p>1. Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>2. The offered quantity mentioned on technical offer is not as per requirements. (Offered Quantity in technical proposal is 1 whereas advertised quantity is 500,000)</p>	<p>We have participated in the above mentioned advertised tender for the COVID-19 Amplification kit. There are some points we would like to help you understand in our technical documents</p> <p>1: Make/Model and Origin of the quoted product is mentioned on the Technical Offer. Hence it should be confirmed.</p> <p>2: Quoted Model item Model / Catalogue Number is also mentioned on the Attached quality certificates.</p>	<p>Mr. Wajahat Khan, the representative of M/s Ayyan Molecular Products, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee.</p> <p>The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm had attached a document in technical bid (delivery</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				<p>3. Quoted item Model/Catalogue No. not mentioned on Attached Quality Certificate(s).</p>	<p>3: The offered quantity was 500000 tests and this is the main reason that we have attached the CDR of total estimated cost that means that we have quoted for all the quantity, In the previous tenders we have been quoting the per test rate so that it can be multiplied by the total quantity by the tendering authority. CDR amount conforms the quantity that we have quoted for 500000 tests and we have quoted per test cost according to the BID FORM that's why per test cost is declared as one unit or one test.</p> <p>4: Far apart from this, according to the note in Knock down clause" The offer which contains the minor deviations from the specifications and the deviations would not have any kind of effect on the quality, efficiency, reliability and durability of products will be declared as substantially responsive, this need to be determined by</p>	<p>schedule) issued in the name of procuring agency by the foreign principal which is signed and stamped by the bidder, mentioning the model number and quantity.</p> <p>2. As the firm has submitted complete bid security amount which is considered against full advertised quantity hence justification of firm is accepted.</p> <p>3. Since Quality Certificate (CE-IVD) of quoted item has been found attached in technical bid as per requirements mentioned in specifications hence justification of firm is acceptable.</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is accepted and status of the firm M/s Ayyan Molecular Products is declared as "Responsive".</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					the Technical Evaluation" Committee and clause no: 22.3 in Evaluation of bid States that "The Procuring Agency may waive any minor informality, nonconformity, or irregularity in a quotation/proposal keeping in view the emergent situation". So we hereby state that there will be no effect on the quality, efficiency, reliability and durability of the products. In the view of the above products please confirm the documents and state us responsive to supply your best quality products to your esteemed organization.	
Extraction Kit Manual						
4	M/s Ayyan Molecular Products	Viral RNA/DNA Manual Extraction Kit, Spin Column Part # CW3126M 200 Tests/Kit (China)	Non-responsive	1. The offered quantity mentioned on technical offer is not as per requirements. (Offered Quantity in technical proposal is 1 whereas advertised quantity is	We have participated in the above mentioned advertised tender for the Covid 19 Amplification kit. There are some points we would like to help you understand in our technical documents 1: Attached Brochure and Technical datasheet are match able we can justify it and we are again presenting	Mr. Wajahat Khan, the representative of M/s Ayyan Molecular Products, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				<p>100,000)</p> <p>2. Attached Brochure/Technical Data Sheet of quoted item model does not match.</p> <p>3. Quoted item Model/Catalogue No. not mentioned on Attached Quality Certificate(s).</p>	<p>the same documents.</p> <p>2: Quoted Model item Model/Catalogue Number is also mentioned on the Attached quality certificates</p> <p>3: The offered quantity was 100000 tests and this is the main reason that we have attached the CDR of total estimated cost that means that we have quoted for all the quantity. In the previous tenders we have been quoting the per test rate so that it can be multiplied by the total quantity by the tendering authority.</p> <p>CDR amount conforms the quantity that we have quoted for 100000 tests and we have quoted per test cost according to the BID FORM that's why per test cost is declared as one unit or one test.</p> <p>4: Far apart from this, according to the note in Knock down clause"</p> <p>"The offer which contains the minor deviations from the specifications and the deviations would not have</p>	<p>discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm has submitted complete bid security amount which is considered against full advertised quantity hence justification of firm is accepted.</p> <p>2. As the quoted product model/catalogue/ref. no. is not verifiable from submitted literature/brochure attached in the technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>3. As the firm failed to produce any Quality Certificate for quoted item as per requirements mentioned in specifications hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Ayyan Molecular Products for quoted item is declared as "Non-Responsive".</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					any kind of effect on the quality, efficiency, reliability and durability of products will be declared as substantially responsive, this need to be determined by the Technical Evaluation" Committee and clause no: 22.3 in Evaluation of bid States that "The Procuring Agency may waive any minor informality, nonconformity, or irregularity in a quotation/proposal keeping in view the emergent situation". So we hereby state that there will be no effect on the quality, efficiency, reliability and durability of the products. In the view of the above products please confirm the documents and state us responsive to supply your best quality products to your esteemed organization.	
5	M/s Briogene Pvt. Ltd.	Viral RNA Mini Kit QIAamp Cat # 52906 250 Tests/Kit (Germany)	Non-responsive	1. The offered quantity is not mentioned on technical bid/quotation.	We participated in tender regarding the above-mentioned procurement dated 30 November, 2020 and that our product namely QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit Cat # 52906, 250 Tests/Kil	Mr. Zeeshan Butt, the representative of M/s Briogene Pvt. Ltd., attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					<p>(Germany) has been declared Non-Responsive. We have following grievances against in the decision of Technical Valuation Report.</p> <p>Brand Name along with Catalogue Number). Specification, Manufacturer and Country of Origin have been clearly mentioned in our Technical Bid. All relevant certifications with name of the product have also been provided.</p> <p>Furthermore, our status is "YES" in the Evaluation Criteria namely "Declaration of make, model & country of origin of Quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on legalized/notarized judicial stamp paper of Rs. 100 which is also and evidence that the above-mentioned information was already provided with the bid.</p> <p>It is submitted that the offered quantities were not mentioned/requested in the BID FORM (Annexure A)</p> <p>Moreover, as we have</p>	<p>firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm has submitted complete bid security amount which is considered against full advertised quantity hence justification of firm is accepted.</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is accepted and status of the firm M/s Briogene Pvt. Ltd. for quoted item is declared as "Responsive".</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					<p>accepted in BID FORM (Annexure A) that "We Undertake, we have no reservation, if our bid is accepted, to deliver the goods in accordance with the delivery schedule specified in the schedule of requirements". This undertaking itself construed that we accept to supply whole advertised quantities as per the delivery schedule in the advertised bidding documents.</p> <p>The Bid Form (Annexure-A) and Price Schedule (Annexure-B) has been filled as such as was mentioned in bidding document and is attached along with our bid.</p> <p>The offered quantities by the bidder, as per the advertised bidding documents have been mentioned only in the Price Schedule (Annexure-B), which is already attached along with our bid.</p> <p>Now, as already undertook in the Bid Form (Annexure-A) and mentioned in our Price Schedule (Annexure B), we intended to bid for the entire</p>	

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					advertised quantities. Please accept our grievance and keeping in view the above facts construed our offer against the whole advertised quantities.	
6	M/s Badar Engineering Works	Manual RNA Extraction Kits A&D Genetik/Eryigit (Turkey)	Non-responsive	<p>1. Model is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>2. Details of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product has not been mentioned on the submitted affidavit.</p>	<p>you are requested to kindly review technical evaluation remarks and form a redressal committee to furnish our point of view on the following raised points and submissions.</p> <p>1. Model is not mentioned In the Technical offer: Technical offer as per above requirement is attached with this letter to fulfill the requirement of tender document</p> <p>2. Details of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product has not been mentioned on the submitted affidavit: Affidavit with all details of make, model and country of origin is attached with this letter. Technical offer as per above requirement is attached with</p>	<p>Mr. Mudassir, the representative of M/s Badar Engineering Works, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm failed to produce any evidence of verifiable model/catalogue/ref. no. of quoted product from attached documents in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable as per Rule 33 (1) of PPR-2014 which states that "No bidder shall be allowed to alter or modify his bid after the closing time for the submission of the bids".</p> <p>2. As the firm did not mention details of verifiable make, model & country of origin of quoted product</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
					<p>this letter to fulfil the requirement of tender document.</p> <p>In the light of points furnished above, we request you to kindly hear us in the meeting of Grievance Redressal Committee to be scheduled as your time and convenience.</p>	<p>along with all accessories to be provided with the product in affidavit attached in technical bid in accordance with knockdown clause of advertised evaluation criteria hence justification of firm is not acceptable as per Rule 33 (1) of PPR-2014 which states that "No bidder shall be allowed to alter or modify his bid after the closing time for the submission of the bids".</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Badar Engineering Works for quoted item is declared as "Non-Responsive".</p>
7	M/s Hoora Pharma	Sample Release Reagent 48 Tests/Kit (China)	Non-responsive	<p>1. Amount is hidden on copy of bid security attached with technical offer is hidden so not verifiable.</p> <p>2. Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>3. Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement</p>	<p>we have participated in the above said tender & wants to submit our grievances against objection raised in yesterday technical evaluation report as follow,</p> <p>1. Amount is hidden on copy of bid security attached with technical offer is hidden so not verifiable. We have submitted bid security in shape of Bank Guarantee & Scan copies are enclosed.</p>	<p>Mr. Faizan Rizvi, the representative of M/s Hoora Pharma, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. Amount of submitted bid</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				<p>of knockdown clause is not provided.</p> <p>4. Undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid.</p>	<p>Bank Guarantee is attached on 2% of Estimated Cost as required in tender.</p> <p>2. Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer.</p> <p>We have quoted Genrui NE 48 Automate Extraction, which is mentioned in our proposal.</p> <p>3. Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause is not provided. Enclosed with letter.</p> <p>4. Undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid. Enclosed with letter.</p> <p>This is our humble request to accept our grievances, looking forward for transparency of the whole procurement process at your earliest.</p>	<p>security has been verified from copy of bid security with unhidden amount given with grievance application and found as per requirement.</p> <p>2. As the firm failed to produce any evidence of verifiable model/catalogue/ref. no. of quoted product from attached documents in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable as per Rule 33 (1) of PPR-2014 which states that "No bidder shall be allowed to alter or modify his bid after the closing time for the submission of the bids".</p> <p>3. As the firm did not attached Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>4. As the firm did not attach undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 technical bid as per requirement of knockdown clause of advertised evaluation criteria hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
						Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Hoor Pharma for quoted item is declared as "Non-Responsive" .
8	M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co.	Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit II Part No. VR300 300 Tests/Kit (Taiwan)	Non-responsive	The literature/brochure of quoted product indicates that the product is only for research use.	It is pointed out that literature available on the website of our Principal "Geneaid" provides that Manual Extraction kit is CE Marked and useful for Covid-19 (copy enclosed). As your good honor is well aware that during 1st wave of Covid-19 we have already supplied 207000 tests to the department and no complaint in this regard has so far been received from any side which indicates that given explanations are absolutely based on the facts.	Mr. Amir, the representative of M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co., attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon to reject the grievance of the firm. Thus, status of the firm M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co. for quoted item remained as "Non Responsive" .
9	M/s Bio Pharma International	Manual Extraction Kit Adsorption Column Method RUN MEI 50 Tests/Kit (China)	Non-responsive	1. Model of quoted item is not mentioned in technical offer. 2. The offered quantity is not mentioned on technical	We participate in the Tender for Nucleic Acid Extraction Manual Kit, the report of the technical evaluation committee was announced on 8th December 2020. we are responsive in compulsory parameters but not qualify in	Mr. Abbas, the representative of M/s Bio Pharma International, attended the meeting and presented its grievance before the grievance redressal committee. The committee heard the view point of the representative of the firm which was examined in the

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				<p>bid/quotation.</p> <p>3. Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause is not provided.</p> <p>4. Supplier Declaration is not attached.</p> <p>5. Original Tender Purchase Receipt is not attached.</p> <p>6. Undertaking regarding non-debarment/non-black listing or non-disqualification by any government /department/ agency / authority on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid.</p> <p>7. Undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product</p>	<p>Ordinary Parameters. As per criteria depicted below our offer has been rejected. It is submitted that please consider our following documents and accept our grievance.</p> <p>All necessary Documents Attached,</p> <p>1-Model Mention in Technical Offer</p> <p>2-Offered Quantity Mention on Technical Bid.</p> <p>3-Bid Form Provided on Letter Head.</p> <p>4-Supplier Declaration Attached.</p> <p>5-Original Tender Purchase Receipt Attached.</p> <p>6-Undertaking non Blacklisting on Affidavit Attached</p> <p>7-Undertaking Regarding Declaration Make Model and country of Origin Attached.</p>	<p>light of Technical Evaluation Report. After due deliberation and discussion the committee unanimously agreed upon following:</p> <p>1. As the firm failed to produce any evidence of verifiable model/catalogue/ref. no. of quoted product from attached documents in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable as per Rule 33 (1) of PPR-2014 which states that "No bidder shall be allowed to alter or modify his bid after the closing time for the submission of the bids".</p> <p>2. As the firm has submitted complete bid security amount which is considered against full advertised quantity hence justification of firm is accepted.</p> <p>3. As the firm did not attached Bid Form on letterhead of firm as per requirement of knockdown clause in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>4. As the firm did not attached Supplier declaration as per requirement of knockdown clause in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>5. As the firm did not attached Original Tender Purchase receipt as</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
				along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid.		<p>per requirement of knockdown clause in technical bid hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>6. As the firm did not attach undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 not attached in technical bid as per requirement of knockdown clause of advertised evaluation criteria hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>7. As the firm did not attach undertaking regarding declaration of make, model & country of origin of quoted product along with all accessories to be provided with the product on Legalized/Notarized Judicial Stamp Paper of Rs.100 in technical bid as per requirement of knockdown clause of advertised evaluation criteria hence justification of firm is not acceptable.</p> <p>Thus, the overall Grievance of the firm is rejected and status of the firm M/s Bio Pharma International</p>

S. No	Firm Name	Quoted item	Status of the firm declared in Technical Evaluation Report	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the firm	Decision of the Committee
						for quoted item is declared as "Non-Responsive" .