

MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR PROCUREMENT OF AUTO DISABLE / REUSE PREVENTION SYRINGE 0.5ml (FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24)

Sr. No	Name of Firm	Item name	Reason for Rejection	Grievance of Firm	Decision of the Committee
1	AMSON VACCINES & PHARMA (PVT) LTD.	Auto Disable (AD) / Re-use prevention (RUP) syringe 0.5ml with needle 23G* 1 (0.60* 25mm) Polypropylene	<p>1. The quoted dimensions not WHO prequalified.</p> <p>2. The sample rejected by end user.</p>	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>This refers to Technical Evaluation Report for procurement of Auto Disable/reuse Prevention Syringe 0.5ml (Financial Year 2023-24). Our quoted item Apple K1 0.5ml (Auto disable Syringe) has been declared non-responsive citing following reasons,</p> <p>1. The quoted dimensions not WHO prequalified.</p> <p>You can verify it online by clicking on following link,  <a href="https://apps.who.int/immunization_standards/vaccinequality/pqscatalogue/categorypage.aspx?id_cat=37">https://apps.who.int/immunization_standards/vaccinequality/pqscatalogue/categorypage.aspx?id_cat=37</a>                      The WHO PQS catalogue (No.E008/048) about our quoted item Apple K1 0.5ml permits / approves the use of needles of other sizes and dimensions. We had already attached the same PQS catalogue in our tender dossier and are enclosing the same here again.</p> <p>2. The sample rejected by end user.</p> <p>We have sold our above quoted items in millions to various major procuring agencies to the likes of UNICEF, DGHS Punjab, MCC KPK, AIK Pakistan, DHAs punjab, Sindh Government and even to EPI Pakistan for whom the DGHS Punjab is making this procurement over the past couple of years and never did we receive a single complaint about this quoted item from this vast pool of 'end user'. We are enclosing purchase orders of above mentioned procuring agencies in proof our claim.</p>	<p>Mr. M. Aqeel from AMSON VACCINES &amp; PHARMA (PVT) LTD. attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced the Technical Evaluation Report.</p> <p>The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:</p> <p>1. The firm did not provide WHO prequalification certificate of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.</p> <p>2. Since the decision of the end user is conclusive; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.</p> <p>Hence, the status of the quoted item remained <b>Non-Responsive</b>.</p>