



## PURCHASE CELL

DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH  
SERVICES PUNJAB  
24-COOPER ROAD, LAHORE



Primary & Secondary  
Healthcare Department

Phone No.: [+924299201145](tel:+924299201145)

Purchase Cell E-mail: [pcdghslahore@gmail.com](mailto:pcdghslahore@gmail.com)

### **MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE HELD ON DEC 28, 2018 AT 2.00 P.M TO REDRESS THE GRIEVANCES OF THE AGAINST PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION REPORT OF PHARMACEUTICAL MANUFACTURERS& SOLE AGENTS OF FOREIGN PRINCIPLES FOR PURCHASE OF TOP-UP DRUGS/MEDICINES & MEDICAL DEVICES FOR FY 2018-2019, FOR DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, PUNJAB**

A meeting of the Grievance Redressal Committee was held on 28-12-2018 at 2.00 P.M in committee room of Directorate General Health Services, Punjab, to address the grievances of the applicants, as per Rule 67 of Punjab Procurement Rules, 2014 (Amended), for prequalification of pharmaceutical manufacturers & sole agents of foreign principles for purchase of top-up drugs/medicines & medical devices for FY 2018-2019.

Following members of Grievance Redressal Committee attended the meeting:

Sr. No.	Participants	
1.	Dr. Shahnaz Naeem, Director Health Services (CDC), DGHS	<b>Chairman/Convener</b>
2.	Dr. Abdul Jabbar, Senior Medical Officer (EPI), DGHS	<b>Member</b>
3.	Senior Law Officer (Litigation Cell), DGHS	<b>Member</b>

Following member(s) of the prequalification committee presented the cases on behalf of the Prequalification Committee:

Sr. No.	Member(s)
1.	Pharmacist, Purchase Cell, DGHS

The Chair welcomed all the participants and briefed about agenda of meeting i.e. Grievance Redressal of firms against prequalification evaluation report of pharmaceutical manufacturers & sole agents of foreign principles for purchase of top-up medicines/medical devices for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 by DGHS.

The Chair instructed the representatives of aggrieved firms to come one by one serial wise based on receipt of grievance so that proper hearing/ redressal of grievance may be ensured. The grievances of firms and decisions of grievance redressal committee are as follow:

Sr. No.	Name & Address of the Firm	Status as per Prequalification Evaluation Report	Reason of Rejection	Grievance of the Applicant Firm	DECISIONS OF THE GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE
1.	<b>M/s English Pharmaceutical Industries</b>	Not Prequalified	The firm does not comply with knock down clause 3.	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and stated that it has submitted all required documents and fulfilled the knock down clauses which were prescribed in prequalification documents.	Mr. Salman Tauqir, representative of M/s English Pharmaceutical Industries, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm failed to comply the requirement of knockdown clause 3 i.e. Annual Sales Turnover of 600 million or above. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s English Pharmaceutical Industries, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b> .
2.	<b>M/s Martin Dow Marker Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 10	The firm stated that it has provided all required documents along with prequalification documents and submitted documents of GMP inspection report as evidence along with grievance letter.	Mr. Zeeshan Arshad, representative of M/s Martin Dow Marker Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the valid GMP inspection report issued by DRAP as required under knockdown clause 10. Therefore, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Martin Dow Marker Ltd., is declared as <b>“Prequalified”</b> .
3.	<b>M/s Global Marketing Services</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 3 as prebid para 8 MOM and clause 1,5,6,2(1).	The firm has submitted its grievance application for redressal and stated that it has attached all relevant documents regarding Clause 1,3,5,6	Mr. Imran Hakim, representative of M/s Global Marketing Services, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed

				and 2(1) of knockdown criteria.	about the grievance. The firm was asked to represent any proof for financial capacity of 600 million as per requirement of MOM para 8 but it failed to reproduce the requisite document. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Global Marketing Services, is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
4.	<b>M/s Lab Links Enterprises</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 8.	The firm stated that its products were registered in 2013 in Pakistan and they are importing the High quality FDA/CE approved disposable syringes and IV cannula. The firm also attached import documents along with prequalification application. The firm showed reservation regarding prequalification of M/s Asto Lifesciences and submitted grievance that said firm does not meet the requirement of 600 million financial capacity.	Mr. Kashif Ahmed, representative of M/s Lab Links Enterprises, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm reproduced valid CE approved certificate attached in prequalification documents. The firm also furnished the import documents as evidence that quoted products are tried and tested in Pakistan for at least three years, which fulfilled the requirement of knockdown clause 8. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Lab Links Enterprises, is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> . As far as prequalification of M/s Asto Lifesciences is concerned, the committee referred the case to prequalification evaluation committee for re-evaluation.
5.	<b>M/s B. Braun Pakistan Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	The undertaking of proper warehouse and storage facility. The annual sales turnover is not attached with reference to item # 21.  The undertaking of proper warehouse and storage	The firm has requested its grievance for redressal against the item No. 3, 4, 5 and 21. The firm stated that M/s UDL is its nationwide distributor which holds their stock in state-of-the-art ware houses and are responsible for storage and temperature requirement of product.	Mr. Kamran Siddiqui, representative of M/s B. Braun Pakistan Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the Income tax returns issued by FBR exhibiting Annual sales turnover of above 600 million which

			facility, quoted product tried and tested in Pakistan for at least 3 years, required storage temperature is not attached. The annual sales turnover is not attached with reference to item # 3c, 4c & 5c.		satisfied the requirement of MOM para 8. With reference to knockdown clause 7 and 2(2), the firm reproduced the MOU signed between M/s B. Braun and M/s UDL for proper warehouse and required storage facility. For fulfilling the requirement under knockdown clause 8, the firm submitted import documents for last three years of quoted products. Upon enquiring about validity of said MOU by the committee, the firm submitted the affidavit on Rs.100/- judicial paper to the effect that MOU between M/s B. Braun Pakistan Pvt. Ltd., and M/s UDL is still valid and in force. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s B. Braun Pakistan Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 21, 3c, 4c & 5c.
6.	<b>M/s Nabiqasim Industries Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 5.	The firm stated that it has been declared non-prequalified due to expired cGMP certificate. The firm attached the copy of cGMP along with the application of grievance for redressal which is valid till 02-08-2019.	Mr. Asim, representative of M/s Nabiqasim industries Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted valid cGMP Certificate of manufacturer issued by DRAP which fulfilled the requirement of knockdown clause 5. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Nabiqasim Industries Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
7.	<b>M/s Surge Laboratories</b>	Not Prequalified	No DRC attached with reference to item # 33.	The firm said that their product Inj. Lignocaine 2% of 10ml was not prequalified due to non-availability of Drug Registration Certificate. The firm	Mr. Asim, representative of M/s Surge Laboratories, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm

				provided the copy of Drug Registration Certificate along with its grievance redressal application.	furnished valid Drug Registration Certificate for quoted product issued by DRAP which satisfied the requirement of knockdown clause 2. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Surge Laboratories is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 33.
8.	<b>M/s Platinum Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	No undertaking regarding stability chambers and Annexures A, B or any other information provided by the firm.	The firm submitted the following documents along with its grievance redressal application: i) Undertaking of stability chambers ii) Purchase / Installation record and services record for stability chambers iii) Undertaking of Annexure A,B or any other information	Mr. M. Shehbaz, representative of M/s Platinum pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The undertakings as required by knockdown clause 12 for functional stability chambers and knockdown clause 13 for information provided at Annexure-A, B were submitted by firm but the firm was unable to furnish any service record for stability chambers. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Platinum Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
9.	<b>M/s Frontier Dextrose Limited</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 5 and 13.	The firm stated that it has provided valid GMP certificate issued by DRAP in its prequalification documents and also attached GMP Certificate and also submitted undertaking for Annexure A, B with their grievance redressal application.	Mr. Aamir Hussain, representative of M/s Frontier Dextrose Limited., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the undertaking for information provided at Annexure-A, B under knockdown clause 13, valid GMP certificate issued by DRAP as required under knockdown clause 5. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Frontier Dextrose Limited, is declared as

					<b>"Prequalified"</b> .
10.	<b>M/s Nisa Impex Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	The Annual Financial Turnover is less than 600 Million. The firm did not provide undertaking that quoted product has been tried and tested in Pakistan for at least 3 years.	The firm said that the Honorable High Court has suspended condition of Annual Turnover 600million and undertakes on Rs.100/- judicial paper with copies of supply orders.	Mr. Ejaz Khan, representative of M/s Nisa Impex Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the import documents for quoted products under knockdown clause 8 but its financial capacity does not meet the requirement of 600 million as required under para 8 of MOM. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Nisa Impex Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
11.	<b>M/s Scilife Pharma Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Inspection report by regulators is attached for last one year only.	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and stated that it has non prequalify on the reason of inspection report by regulators is attached for last one year only and supported documents are attached with their application.	Mr. Zafar Bhatti, representative of M/s Scilife Pharma Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has furnished the satisfactory inspection report of Regulators from DRAP for last one year with reference to knockdown clause 10. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Scilife Pharma Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
12.	<b>M/s Al-Hamd Enterprises</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with Knock down clause 3 para 8 MOM.	The firm stated that the Honorable High Court has suspended condition of Annual Turnover 600million (copy attached) and claimed that the firm supplied their brand Farcocath IV Cannula in Health department.	Mr. Gulfam Shiraz, representative of M/s Al-Hamd Enterprises, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm confessed that it was least update with the final decision of Honorable High Court Lahore thus its grievance is rejected straightaway due to non-fulfillment of requirement as prescribed in para 8 of MOM i.e. Financial limit of 600 million.

					The firm also did not provide undertaking regarding blacklisting/debarring as required under knockdown clause 3. Thus its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Al-Hamd Enterprises, is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
13.	<b>M/s Vision Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 2(9)	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and stated that all data has been given in prequalification documents.	Mr. Amjad Hussain, representative of M/s Vision Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted affidavit for punitive action taken by DRAP (From 01-01-2017 onwards), If any, as required by knockdown clause 2(9) of quoted product. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Vision Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
14.	<b>M/s Genix Pharma</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 5, 9.	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and provided copy of GMP certificate as well as undertaking for HVAC on letterhead of firm along with the purchase record.	Mr. Imran Bashir Bhatti, representative of M/s Genix Pharma., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has provided valid GMP certificate issued by DRAP under knockdown clause 5 and undertaking for HVAC along with purchase/installation, filter change and service record under knockdown clause 9. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Genix Pharma, is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
15.	<b>M/s Amson Vaccine &amp; Pharma</b>	Not Prequalified	The firm did not provided undertaking for ISO, quality management system and	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and	Mr. Faisal, representative of M/s Amson Vaccine & Pharma, appeared before the grievance redressal

			other certifications, for equipment installed in different sections are not duly calibrated and validated, HVAC installation, filter change and maintenance, for annexure A,B and conditions of the prequalification documents. Inspection report of regulators are also missing with reference to item # 1c & 2c.	attached all documents with its application which were not attached in prequalification documents.	committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has furnished valid ISO certificate under knockdown clause 6, undertaking for calibrated and validated equipment installed in quality control, quality assurance & microbiological laboratory under knockdown clause 7, undertaking for functional HVAC along with installation ,filter change and service record under knockdown clause 9, inspection report of Regulators for last two years under knockdown clause 10 and undertaking for information provided at Annexure A, B under knockdown clause 13. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Amson Vaccine & Pharma, is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 1c & 2c.
	<b>M/s Amson Vaccine &amp; Pharma</b>	Not Prequalified	GMP certificate attached is for export purpose only with reference to item # 18, 22, 28, 36, 39, 42.	The firm has submitted its grievance redressal application and attached GMP certificate with it. The firm also submitted that technical evaluation report of Item # 7 was not published.	Mr. Faisal, representative of M/s Amson Vaccine & Pharma, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted valid GMP certificate issued by DRAP under knockdown clause 5. Therefore, its grievance is accepted with reference to Item # 7, 18, 22, 28, 36, 39, 42 and hence status of firm M/s Amson Vaccine & Pharma regarding the above mentioned items is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
<b>16.</b>	<b>M/s K.M Enterprises</b>	Not Prequalified		The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and requested to re-evaluate its prequalification documents for given	Mr. Shoib Anwar, representative of M/s K.M Enterprises, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm failed to comply the requirement

				clauses and consider their firm for prequalification.	of annual sales turnover of 600 million or above as prescribed in para 8 of MOM. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s K.M Enterprises, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b> .
17.	<b>M/s Trowmedic International</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 3 as prebid para 8 MOM and clause 4,9 for item 1c,2c,3c and clause 1,4,5,6,8,9 for item no 4c,5c.	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and furnished all documents for given clauses.	Mr. Hussain, representative of M/s Amson Vaccine & Pharma, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted the undertaking that it is not blacklisted/debarred by any procuring agency under knockdown clause 3 but the financial capacity of firm does not meet the requirement of annual sales turnover of 600 million or above as prescribed in para 8 of MOM. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Trowmedic International, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b> .
18.	<b>M/s Usmanco International</b>	Not Prequalified	The firm did not provided undertaking that manufacturing unit has its own quality control lab, information provided by the firm at annexure A and B and condition of the prequalification documents. The annual sales turnover is less than 600 Million.	The firm stated that the Honorable High Court has suspended condition of Annual Turnover 600million and said that there is no condition of 600million turnover for sole agent in prequalification documents.	Mr. Abdul Hameed, representative of M/s Usmanco International, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted the undertaking that manufacturing unit has its own quality control lab under knockdown clause 4 and undertaking for information provided at Annexure-A, B under knockdown clause 9. The firm failed to conform to the requirement of annual sales turnover of 600 million or above as prescribed in para 8 of MOM. So, its grievance is

					rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Usmanco International, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b> .
19.	<b>M/s Sind Medical Store</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does comply with knock down clause 3,4,7,8,9.	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and stated that they have submitted all relevant documents and requested to review its prequalification documents.	Mr. Jahangir Khan, representative of M/s SMS, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted undertaking for non-blacklisting/debarring under knockdown clause 3, undertaking to the effect that manufacturing unit has its own quality control lab under knockdown clause 4, undertaking for proper warehouse and storage facility under knockdown clause 7, Goods Declaration Certificates as import documents under knockdown clause 8 and undertaking for information provided at Annexure-A, B under knockdown clause 9. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Sind Medical Store, is declared as <b>“Prequalified”</b> .
20.	<b>M/s Unisa Pharmaceuticals</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 3.No undertaking regarding RO water plant and stability chamber is attached.	The firm has submitted the following documents along with grievance redressal application: Financial Capability of 1000M (Copies attached) Undertaking regarding RO water Plant (Copies attached) Undertaking regarding stability chamber (Copies attached)	Mr. Waqas Javaid, representative of M/s Unisa Pharmaceuticals, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has provided undertaking for functional R.O Water/De-ionized water plant with required minimum capacity under knockdown clause 11 as well as for two functional stability chambers along with purchase/installation record or service record under knockdown clause 12 but the Annual

					Sales Turnover of firm does not fulfill the requirement of knockdown clause 3 i.e. Annual sales turnover of 600 million or above. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Unisa Pharmaceuticals, is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
21.	<b>M/s S.J &amp; G Fazul Ellahie Pvt. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm Does comply with knock down clause 7,8 and 2(3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11)	The firm has submitted a grievance for redressal against the prequalification evaluation report and attached all relevant documents.	Mr. Tayyab, representative of M/s S.J & G Fazul Ellahie Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm was unable to reproduce required documents for given knockdown clauses. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s S.J & G Fazul Ellahie Pvt. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
22.	<b>M/s Atco Laboratories Limited</b>	Not Prequalified	GMP certificate expired. No valid ISO/QMS certificate.	The firm has submitted the GMP Certificate along with grievance redressal application and said that its ISO Certificate was under process with the concerned authorities.	Mr. Faisal, representative of M/s Atco Laboratories Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm has submitted valid GMP Certificate under knockdown clause 5 but failed to present valid ISO/QMS/other International Certificate under knockdown clause 6. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Atco Laboratories, is declared as <b>"Not-Prequalified"</b> .
23.	<b>M/s Medipak Limited</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 2(4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11).	The firm has submitted its grievance for redressal against prequalification evaluation report for Drugs/Medicines and Medical Devices	Mr. Zubair, representative of M/s Medipak Limited, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm

				separately. The firm has attached relevant documents for given clauses and requested to re-consider its case.	submitted undertaking for availability, calibration and validation of relevant equipment installed in quoted item's section as required under knockdown clause 2(4), undertaking for required storage temperature under knockdown clause 2(5), undertaking for any spurious sample under knockdown clause 2(6), undertaking for substandard samples (Not more than 2 samples) under knockdown clause 2(7), undertaking for substandard Batch recall history under knockdown clause 2(8), undertaking for punitive action taken by DRAP if any under knockdown clause 2(9), undertaking for punitive action taken by PQCB if any under knockdown clause 2(10), undertaking for conviction by Drug Court(s) if any under knockdown clause 2(11). Thus, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Medipak Limited, is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
24.	<b>M/s Novartis Pharma (Pakistan) Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 10 with reference to item # 3, 7 & 18.  Manufacturing firm does not comply with knock down clause 5 and 10 with reference to item # 8, 12, 13.	The firm has submitted its grievance for redressal against prequalification evaluation report and attached following documents along with its application: i) Contract manufacturing license for M/s GSK OTC ii) Application for renewal of GMP certificate of M/s GSK OTC iii) Contract manufacturing license for M/s CSH Pharmaceuticals iv) Application for renewal of GMP certificate of M/s CSH Pharmaceuticals.	Mr. Abdul Rauf Sheikh, representative of M/s Novartis Pharma Pakistan Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the inspection report of regulators (DRAP) of M/s GSK OTC of last year required under knockdown clause 10 which was found satisfactory. The firm also reproduced inspection report of regulators (DRAP) of M/s CSH of last year as required under knockdown clause 10. Therefore its

				The firm has requested to review the subject report and consider it for prequalification.	grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Novartis Pharma (Pakistan) Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 3, 7, 8, 12, 13 & 18.
25.	<b>M/s Abbott Laboratories Pak. Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	No inspection Report attached as per knock down clause 10.	The firm stated that it has submitted the inspection report in prequalification documents. The firm has re-submitted the said report and requested for reconsideration.	Mr. Munim Khan, representative of M/s Abbott Laboratories Pak. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the inspection report of regulators (DRAP) of last year as required under knockdown clause 10. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Abbott Laboratories Pak. Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> .
26.	<b>M/s Searle Company Ltd.</b>	Not Prequalified	All attached DRCs are expired and no renewal of document is attached. No relevant document regarding RO water plant is attached with reference to item # 7, 9, 16, 20, 21, 22, 3, 25.	The firm has submitted that it has provided all drug registration certificates and none of its product is deregistered from DRAP. The firm also stated that it has submitted undertaking for availability and installation of R.O Plant with more than 500L/day capacity. For reconsideration the firm has resubmitted the above said documents and requested to accept its documents and hence declare as prequalified.	Mr. Munim Khan, representative of M/s Searle Company Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the undertaking for availability of functional R.O Water/De-ionized water plant with minimum capacity of 500L as required under knockdown clause 11. The firm reproduced valid Drug Registration Certificates of item # 3, 7, 9, 16, 20, 21, 22 issued by DRAP under knockdown clause 2. So, its grievance is accepted and hence status of the firm, M/s Searle Company Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 7, 9, 16, 20, 21, 22, 3, 25.

27.	<b>M/s Getz Pharma (Pvt.) Limited</b>	Not Prequalified	Manufacturing firm does not comply with knock down clause 5, 2(4) with reference to item # 8, 9-A, 9-B.	The Firm states that it has submitted all the documents as per requirement of Section II (A) Prequalification 1 & 2 Knock down Criteria. The firm also submitted that technical evaluation report of Item # 27 was not published.	Mr. Tanveer Ahmed, representative of M/s Getz Pharma Pvt. Ltd., appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted valid GMP Certificate of relevant section of Manufacturer issued by DRAP as required under knockdown clause 5, undertaking as relevant equipment is installed in quoted item's section is available, calibrated and validated under clause 2(4) with reference to quoted item # 8, 9-a, 9-b. Therefore, its grievance is accepted only with respect to above said quoted items and hence status of the firm, M/s Getz Pharma (Pvt.) Ltd., is declared as <b>"Prequalified"</b> with respect to item # 8, 9-A, 9-B only. Committee referred back the case pertaining to item # 27, to the prequalification evaluation committee to evaluate and report as it was inadvertently could not be published in prequalification evaluation report.
28.	<b>M/s Tech Zone</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does comply with knock down clause 3 para 8 MOM, 8, 2(2) and 2(6).	The Firm states that it has submitted all the documents as per requirement of Section II (A) Prequalification 1 & 2 Knock down Criteria.	Mr. Ali Hassan, representative of M/s Tech Zone, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the undertaking for not blacklisted/debarred by any procuring agency as required under knockdown clause 3, undertaking for required storage temperature as per product's requirement under knockdown clause 2(2), undertaking

					<p>to the effect that quoted products are tried and tested at local environment for at least three years under knockdown clause 2(6).</p> <p>The financial capacity of firm does not meet the requirement of annual sales turnover of 600 million or above as prescribed in para 8 of MOM. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Tech Zone, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b>.</p>
29.	<b>M/s Lisko Pakistan</b>	Not Prequalified	Firm does not comply with knock down clause 2, 3 and 5.	<p>The firm has submitted its grievance against clause 3, Section II (A) Prequalification 1 Knock down Criteria.</p>	<p>Mr. Zaheer Alam, representative of M/s Lisko Pakistan, appeared before the grievance redressal committee and briefed about the grievance. The firm submitted the valid Drug Registration Certificates of quoted items issued by DRAP as required under knockdown clause 2 and valid GMP Certificate issued by DRAP under knockdown clause 5.</p> <p>The firm failed to comply with the requirement of knockdown clause 3, according to which Annual Sales Turnover of firm must be 600 million or above. So, its grievance is rejected and hence status of the firm, M/s Lisko Pakistan, is declared as <b>“Not-Prequalified”</b>.</p>