# MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAB ITEMS THROUGH OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR T.B CONTROL PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24

Sr.	Name of Firm	Name of Item	Marks Obtained	Minimum Passing Marks	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the Firm	Decision of the Committee
1	M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co.	Methanol	41	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.  1. The quoted item did not obtain	M/S Pakistan Hospital & Ind Lab Co claimed experience in the relevant products for more than 4 years.  1. However, proof / POs are attached as (Annex-A) for ready reference. Hence, it is requested that 20 marks allocated for past performance of the bidder may kindly be granted to our firm instead of 5 & 10 marks.  2. The quoted items are Laboratory Grade Chemical reagents, none of these pharmaceutical product/raw material. So It is requested that for GMP certificate 5 marks allocated for the purpose may kindly be given to our firm being eligible.  3. The detail of managerial staff was already sent with the Bid. However, detail of the same is being again provided as (Annex-Pb.). Therefor allocated marks for the purpose may kindly be granted to us as per criteria. The detail of Technical staff was already sent with the Bid. However, detail of the same is being again provided as (Annex-C). Therefor allocated marks for the purpose may kindly be granted to us as be or criteria. The detail of Sales staff was already sent with the Bid. However, detail of the same	The firm provided purchase orders of the quoted item along with delivery challans for two additional years, which were accepted; hence, additional ten (10) marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.      The firm provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.      The firm provided additional appointments letters and degrees of managerial (one) and technical (four) staff, which were accepted; hence, five (05) additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 56 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.
2	M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co.	Methylene Blue	46	48	qualifying marks.	o us as per criteria. The detail or Sales starr was already sent with the bid. However, detail of the sams s being again provided as (Annex-D). Therefore allocated marks for the purpose may kindly be grante o us as per criteria.	were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate, hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  3. The firm provided additional appointments letters and degrees of managerial (one) and technical (four) staff, which were accepted; hence, five (05) additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 56 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.
3	M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co.	Auramine- O	56	48	Sample rejected by enduser.		The firm did not contest for the quoted item.
4	M/s Pakistan Hospital and Industrial Laboratory Co.	Basic Fuchsin	51	48	Sample rejected by enduser.		The firm did not contest for the quoted item.
5	Three Stars Medical Supplies	Sputum Container	32	48	qualifying marks.  2.Offered pack size of thre quoted item is not as per advertised specification.	Dear Sir, We had participated in the T.E No.PC-3/T.B/Lab items/T.B Program 2023-24 (Item No.10). As per your technical report uploaded on PPRA website your technical committee announced us as Non responsive due to mentioned below points.  > Past performance Certificates > Valid ISO certificate > International Certification > GMP Certification > Technical Staff > Sales Staff.  > Managerial Staff > Offer did not meet the tender specification of pack Size.  It is stated that we had quoted the Sputum Container Local brand as per your tender specification which was given in bidding documents and same specifications & same sample was provided. Local brand which we had quoted it does not require the ISO, International certification and GMP certificate. We have already attached the last ten years past performance certificates like award/supply orders, technical staff, sales staff documents with our technical bid. but department did not awarded us above mentioned points marks for qualification. So, it is requested that please refer our case to your respective grievance committee and re-evaluate our technical bid and awarded us marks to make a healthy competition in financial.  Looking forward for very compassionate consideration, with all the best wishes and regards.	Mr. Ali zafar Alvi from M/s Three Stars Medical Supplies attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.  The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:  1. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institution, which was accepted; hence, 05 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  2. The firm did not provide the GMP, ISO & international certificates; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  3. The firm provided additional documents regarding appointment letter and degrees of 02 managerial staff and 01 sale staff, which were accepted; hence, 03 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  4. The firm provided clarification regarding quoted packsize; hence, grievance of the firm was accepted to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 40 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.
6	Shams Scientific Traders	Glass Slides	5	48		Dear Sir, We had participated in the T.E No.PC-3/T.B/Lab items/T.B Program 2023-24 (Item No.9 Glass Slide). As per your technical report uploaded on PPRA website your technical committee announced us as Non responsive due to mentioned below points.  > Terms & Conditions Undertaking > Country Of origin, Country Of Manufacturer Brand name Undertaking > Declared/ Debarred Black Listed Undertaking > Past Performance of the Bidder > GMP Certification > Technical Staff > Sales Staff > Managerial Staff > International Certification It is requested that we had quoted the Glass Slide Biopro China brand as per your tender specification which was given in bidding documents and same specifications & same sample was provided. We have already attached all the required documents as per bidding documents and also attached the past performance certificates like award letters/supply orders, technical staff, sales staff and all the required International Certificates/documents with our technical bid. but department did not awarded us above mentioned points marks for qualification. So, it is requested that please refer our case to your respective grievance committee and re-evaluate our technical bid and awarded us marks to make healthy competition in financial. (All the required documents can be provided on demand.) Thanks	Mr. Orangzaib from M/s Shams Scientific Traders attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.  The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:  1. The firm did not provide undertaking regarding by the acceptance of terms & conditions; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.  2. The firm did not provide undertaking regarding country of origin, country of manufacturer and brand name of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.  3. The firm did not provide undertaking regarding blacklisted/debarred as per advertised criteria; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.  4. The firm did not provide delivery challan of relevant POs of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  5. The firm did not provide any document regarding international certification; hence, on marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  6. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  7. The firm did not provide any document regarding international certification; hence, no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  8. The firm did not provide any document regarding papointment letter and degrees of technical, managerial and sales staff; hence, no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  9. The firm did not provide any document regarding appointment letter and degrees of technical, managerial and sales staff; hence, no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

### MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAB ITEMS THROUGH OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR T.B CONTROL PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24

						PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24			
7	M/s BioPharma International	Auramine- O	34	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.	In these criteria we obtained only 0 marks. We Attached Purchase Order Copies so please accept our grievance and allotted us full marks in these criteria.  Certificates:  In these criteria we obtained only 5 marks. We attached Certificates, ISO 9001:2015 ISO 14001;2015,GDP Certificate We attached, the Prequalification Letter Issue from PCSIR Lab complex Peshawar, and Establishment License Issued by DRAP. Registered with govt Registrar Firm. So please accept our grievance and allotted us full marks in these criteria.  Technical / Managerial Staff of the bidder:  We got 08 marks in this criterion. We Have 5 Manager and 03 Technical staff and 5 Sales Officers.  Detail Under Below:	Mr.M. Abbas from M/s BioPharma International attende application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria The committee after due deliberation and discussion of 1. The firm did not provide any additional document re awarded to the extent of this parameter.  2. The firm provided valid establishment license, which 3. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 43 and the provided that the provided t		
8	M/s BioPharma International	Phenol Crystals	34	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.	Faisal Hayat Safa Azmat Saqib Ashraf Noor Fatima Javeira Salman So, you are requested to please accept our grievance and grant us full marks.	The firm provided additional document regarding ex extent of this parameter.     The firm provided valid establishment license, which are firm provided appointment letter and degrees of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the control of the provided item became 48 and the control of the provided item became 48 and the control of the provided item became 48 and the control of the provided item became 48 and the control of the provided item became 48 and the provide		
9	M/s BioPharma International	Basic Fuchsin	34	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		The firm provided additional document regarding ex extent of this parameter.     The firm provided valid establishment license, which so the firm provided appointment letter and degrees of this parameter. Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the provided appointment letter.		
10	M/s BioPharma International	Methylene Blue	34	48	Sample rejected by enduser.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		The firm did not contest for the quoted item.		
11	M/s BioPharma International	Glass Slides	34	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		The firm provided additional document regarding exextent of this parameter.     The firm provided valid establishment license, which a The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of this parameter.     Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 58 a		
12	M/s BioPharma International	Sputum Containers	34	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.     The quoted pack size not as per the advertised specification.		The firm provided undertaking that quoted pack size     The firm provided additional document regarding ex extent of this parameter.     The firm provided valid establishment license, which     The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of of this parameter.      M/s Hiaro Against Biopharma International:     ISO certificates of the concerned manufacturers (glass ISO certificate of the manufacturer for sputum contained total marks.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the content of the content		
13	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Auramine- O	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.	Quoted items are Laboratory Grade chemical reagents and consumables, Nonc of these is a pharmnaceutical product/raw material and don't fall in Drugs category. Therefore DRAP License is Not applicable. Hence 05 marks should be granted or removed from calculation. Quoted items are Laboratory Grade chemical reagents, None of these is a pharmaceutical product/raw material. Therefore GMP certification is Not applicable. Hence 05 marks should be granted or removed from calculation. For kind review, specific items have been individually highlighted from of previous supply orders attached again herewith data comprising attested staff degrees/documents is attached again herewith for your ready reference. Hence 15 marks should be granted instead of 04. Authority letter attached again. Undertaking regarding country of origin attached again.	Mr. Moin Afzal from M/s UH Analytical Supplies attend application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria The committee after due deliberation and discussion d 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; he parameter.  2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, 3. The firm provided additional document regarding exextent of this parameter.  4.The firm provided additional appointment letter and parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and parameter.		
14	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Methanol	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		The firm did not provide establishment certificate; he parameter.     The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, 3. The firm provided additional document regarding ex extent of this parameter.     The firm provided additional appointment letter and parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and parameter.		

- Mr.M. Abbas from Mrs BioPharma International attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.

  The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:
- 1. The firm did not provide any additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, no additional marks were
- 2. The firm provided valid establishment license, which was accepted and 05 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of technical and managerial staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent

ence, the total marks of the quoted item became 43 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.

- . The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 05 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter
- 2. The firm provided valid establishment license, which was accepted and 05 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of technical and managerial staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 05 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm provided valid establishment license, which was accepted and 05 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of technical and managerial staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 15 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm provided valid establishment license, which was accepted and 05 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of technical & Managerial Staff which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 58 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm provided undertaking that quoted pack size is as per the advertised specification, which was accepted.
- 2. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 10 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided valid establishment license, which was accepted and 05 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm provided appointment letter and degrees of technical and managerial staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- SO certificates of the concerned manufacturers (glass slides, phenol crystals, basic fuchsin and auramine-O)were rechecked and found satisfactory. However,
- ISO certificate of the manufacturer for sputum container was not verified; Hence grievance of HIARO International was accepted and 5 marks were deducted from total marks.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 48 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- . Moin Afzal from M/s UH Analytical Supplies attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance plication in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.

  is committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:
- 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4.The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

. Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to **Responsive**.

- I. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

  3. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the
- extent of this parameter.

  4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this
- Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to **Responsive.**

## MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAB ITEMS THROUGH OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR T.B CONTROL PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24

		1		,	•
15	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Sulphuric Acid	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
16	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Hydrochlori c Acid	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
17	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Phenol	29	48	One year availability of the quoted item, not attached.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
18	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Immersion Oil	29	48	One year availability of the quoted item, not attached.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
19	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Basic Fuchsin	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
20	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Methylene Blue	29	48	The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.
21	M/s UH Analytical Supplies	Glass Slides	29	48	Authorization letter not attached.     Undertaking regarding country of origin, country of manufacturer and brand name of the quoted item, not attached.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.

- 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

  3. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the
- extent of this parameter.
  4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this
- parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to **Responsive**.

- 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- The firm provided one year availability of quoted item, which was accepted.
- The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 5. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive

- 1. The firm provided one year availability of quoted item, which was accepted.
- 2. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this
- 3.The firm did not provide GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter
- 4. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 5. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive

- 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
   The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the leastent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive

- 1. The firm provided authorization letter, which was accepted.
- 2. The firm provided undertaking regarding country of origin, country of manufacturer and brand name of the quoted item, which was accepted.
- 3. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm did not provide the GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 5. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.
- 6. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.

Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive

# MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAB ITEMS THROUGH OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR T.B CONTROL PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24

				FROGRAMITORTIMANCIALTE	
22 M/s UH Analytical Supplies Sputum Container	29	48	Authorization letter not attached.     Undertaking regarding country of origin, country of manufacturer and brand name of the quoted item, not attached.     The quoted pack size not as per the advertised specification.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		1. The firm provided authorization letter, which was accepted.  2. The firm provided undertaking regarding country of origin, country of manufacturer and brand name of the quoted item, which was accepted.  3. The firm provided undertaking that quoted pack size is as per the advertised specification, which was accepted.  4. The firm did not provide establishment certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  5. The firm did not provide GMP certificate; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted and no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  6. The firm provided additional document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 20 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  7. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of sales staff; which were accepted and 04 additional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 53 and the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.  M/S Hiaro Against M/S UH analytical supplies:  M/S UH analytical supplies already have zero marks for ISO certification; hence grievance of M/s Hiaro was not accepted.
23 Chemsol Auramine-O	0	48	Copy of 2% bid security of the estimated cost, not attached.     One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.     Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not as per bid data sheet.     Sample rejected by enduser.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.	Dear Sir,  With reference to subject cited above, we "M/S Chemsol International" would like to present few reservations about this report. These reservations are ofserious concern and need your keen attention. It is worth mentioning that we are participating in the tenders of DG health since 2014 and we prepare documents with lot of care and fulfill all requirements with due diligence and attached all the document as required according to the bidding documents.  1. Copy of CDR already attached with technical bid again attach with this request.  2. One year business history already attached and photocopy attach with this request.  3. Country of origin and country of manufacturer we have quoted items of Glantham Life Sciences UK written on the stamp paper and attach with this request.  4. What is the reason rejection of our samples by end user.  Respected sir kindly look into this matter and ask for necessary action. Thanking you for your cooperation and assuring you of our best services at all, we remain.	Mr. M. Ijaz from M/s Chemsol International attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.  1. The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted.  2. The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted.  3. The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted.  4. Since the decision of enduser is conclusive; hence, grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.  5. The firm provided document regarding experience of the quoted item in public sector institutions; hence, 05 dditional marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  6. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 09 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.
24 Chemsol International Methanol	0	48	Copy of 2% bid security of the estimated cost, not attched.     One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.     Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not as per bid data sheet.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.	-cooperation and assuring you or our best services at ail, we remain.	1. The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted. 2. The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted. 3. The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted. 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.
25 Chemsol Phenol	0	48	Copy of 2% bid security of the estimated cost, not attched.     One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.     Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not as per bid data sheet.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		1. The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted. 2. The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted. 3. The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted. 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial, sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.
26 Chemsol Immersion Oil	0	48	Copy of 2% bid security of the estimated cost, not attched.     One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.     Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not as per bid data sheet.     Sample rejected by enduser.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		1. The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted. 2. The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted. 3. The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted. 4. Since the decision of enduser is conclusive; hence, grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 5. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.
27 Chemsol Basic Fuchsin	0	48	Copy of 2% bid security of the estimated cost, not attched.     One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.     Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not as per bid data sheet.     The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.		1. The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted. 2. The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted. 3. The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted. 4. The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.

# MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF LAB ITEMS THROUGH OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR T.B CONTROL PROGRAM FOR FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24

TROUGHT ON THATCHE TEAM 2015 27								
	nemsol rnational	Methylene Blue	0	48	estimated cost, not attched.  2. One year availability of the quoted product, not attached.  3. Country of origin, country of manufacturer on undertaking not	<ol> <li>The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted.</li> <li>The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted.</li> <li>The firm provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted.</li> <li>Since the decision of enduser is conclusive; hence, grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.</li> <li>The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.</li> <li>Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.</li> </ol>		
	nemsol rnational	Glass Slides	0	48	estimated cost, not attched. 2. One year availability of the quoted product, not attached. 3. Country of origin, country of	The firm provided the copy of 2% bid security which was accepted.     The provided the one year experience of the quoted item which was accepted.     The provided the undertaking regarding "Country of origin, country of manufacturer" which was accepted.     The firm provided additional appointment letter and degrees of Managerial,sales and technical staff; which were accepted and 04 marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item became 04 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.		
30	Hiaro	Sputum Container	34	48	2. The quoted pack size not as per the advertised specification.  3. The quoted item did not obtain qualifying marks.  Here may be any mistake or intentionally rejected our sample. We have mentioned the size on our bid cover sheet and End user does not have any objection on size. The second clause of marking criteria qualifying marks.  Here may be any mistake or intentionally rejected our sample. We have mentioned the size on our bid cover sheet and End user does not have any objection on size. The second clause of marking criteria and the company has ISO, Import License andGMP for plastics consumables.  Against M/s Bio Pharma International and M/s UH analytical supplies:  Both companies attached fake ISO Certificate. Whereas the manufacturers of both companies don't have any valid ISO Certification.	Mr. Shahid from M/s Hiaro International attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria and announced Technical Evaluation Report.  The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:  1. Since the decision of enduser is conclusive; hence, grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.  2. The firm provided clarification regarding quoted packsize; hence, grievance of the firm was accepted to the extent of this parameter.  3. The firm did not provide any document; hence, no marks were awarded to the extent of this parameter.  Hence, the total marks of the quoted item remained 34 and the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive  M/s Hiaro Against M/s U/H Analytical Supplies: Decision at Serial No. 22  M/s Hiaro Against M/s Biopharma International: Decision at Serial No. 12		