MINUTES OF MEETING OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PROCUREMENT OF INSECTICIDES (WHO PREQUALIFIED) FOR CD&EPC PROGRAM (FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24)

	PROGRAM (FINANCIAL YEAR 2023-24)						
Sr. #	Name of Firm	Name of Item	Reasons of Rejection	Grievance of the Firm	Decision of the Committee		
1			Certificate of manufacturing not attached. Product registration certificate not attached. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached.	Dear Sir, The documents mentioned in the evaluation report are already attached. You are requested to kindly go through our bid. However, please find enclosed another set of documents mentioned in the evaluation report.	Mr. Ali Tahir from M/s Z. R. Engineering attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria & Specifications and announced the Technical Evaluation Report. The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that: 1. The firm provided certificate of manufacturing from concerned regulatory authority of country of manufacturer, which is verified and accepted. 2. The firm did not provide certificate of registration of the quoted item in the country of manufacturer; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 3. The firm did not provide manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 4. The firm did not provide material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. Hence, the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.		
2	Z. R. Engineering	Temephos 1 SG (WHO PQT-VC Prequalified)	Manufacturing site address mentioned on certificate of manufacturing is not as per technical proposal and Certificate of manufacturing not verified. Manufacturing site address mentioned on product registration certificate is not as per technical proposal and Product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached.		The firm provided documentary clarification of manufacturing site address mentioned on certificate of manufacturing and technical proposal & certificate of manufacturing is verified and accepted. 2. The firm provided documentary clarification of manufacturing site address mentioned on product registration certificate and technical proposal & product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified and accepted. 3. The firm provided manufacturer's authorizaton from the manufacturer for the quoted item, which was accepted. 4. The firm provided material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item, which were accepted. Hence, the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.		
3			Certificate of manufacturing not attached. Product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached. Certificate of country of origin not attached.		1. The firm provided certificate of manufacturing from concerned regulatory authority of country of manufacturer, which is verified and accepted. 2. The product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified and accepted. 3. The firm did not provide manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 4. The firm did not provide material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 5. The firm did not provide certificate of country of origin of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. Hence, the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.		

4	Target Agro Chemicals	Alpha-Cypermethrin 10 SC (WHO PQT-VC Prequalified)		Res We inse 1. A 2. T 3. L 4. T We doo doo
5		Lamda- Cyhalothrin 2.5 EC (WHO PQT-VC Prequalified)	Brand name mentioned on certificate of manufacturing is not as per bid cover sheet. Certificate of manufacturing not verified. Brand name mentioned on product registration certificate is not as per bid cover sheet. Product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached. Certificate of country of origin not attached.	
6		Temephos 1 SG (WHO PQT-VC Prequalified)	Amount of bid security is less than 1% of estimated cost of quoted item. Manufacturing site address mentioned on certificate of manufacturing is not as per technical proposal and Certificate of manufacturing not verified. Manufacturing site address mentioned on product registration certificate is not as per technical proposal and Product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Manufacturer's authorization proposal and product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Manufacturer's authorization not attached.	

espected Sir,

secticides.

- Alpha-Cypermethrin 10 SC (WHO PQT-VC Pregualified)
- Temephos 50 EC (WHO PQT-VC Pregualified)
- Lamda- Cyhalothrin 2.5 EC (WHO PQT-VC Pregualified)
- Temephos 1 SG (WHO PQT-VC Pregualified)

e had duly attached the required documents with the bidding ocuments. We are again, attaching the said documents and additional ocuments required by you.

Mr.Mohsin from M/s Target Agro Chemicals attended the meeting. The committee /e had participated in the above mentioned tender for supply of following examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria & Specifications and announced the Technical Evaluation Report.

The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that:

- 1. The firm provided certificate of manufacturing from concerned regulatory authority of country of manufacturer, which is verified and accepted.
- 2. The firm provided evidence of registration of the quoted item in the country of manufacturer, which is accepted.
- 3. The firm provided manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item, which is accepted.
- 4. The firm provided material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item, which were accepted.

Hence, the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm provided affidavit regarding clarification of brand name mentioned on certificate of manufacturing and bid cover sheet & Certificate of manufacturing is verified and accepted.
- 2. The firm provided affidavit regarding clarification of brand name mentioned on product registration certificate and bid cover sheet & product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified and accepted.
- 3. The firm provided manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item, which was accepted.
- 4. The firm provided material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item, which were accepted.
- 5. The firm provided certificate of country of origin of the quoted item, which was accepted.

Hence, the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.

- 1. The firm did not address the objection regarding bid security, which is less than 1% of estimated cost of quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.
- 2. The firm did not provide certificate of manufacturing of the quoted item as per bid language i.e., English; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.
- 3. The firm did not provide clarification of manufacturing site address mentioned on product registration certificate which is not as per technical proposal and Product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter.
- 4. The firm did not provide manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this
- 5. The firm did not provide material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this

Hence, the status of the quoted item remained Non-Responsive.

7		Temephos 50 EC	Certificate of manufacturing not attached. Product registration certificate not verified. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached. Certificate of country of origin not attached.		The firm provided certificate of manufacturing from concerned regulatory authority of country of manufacturer, which is verified and accepted. The product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified and accepted. The firm provided manufacturer's authorizaton from the manufacturer for the quoted item, which was accepted. The firm provided material safety data sheet, packing list and commercial invoice of the quoted item, which were accepted. The firm provided certificate of country of origin of the quoted item, which was accepted. Hence, the status of the quoted item changed to Responsive.
8	Tufail Enterprises		1. The tax payer status of the bidder is Non-active and suspended on FBR active taxpayer list. 2. Brand name mentioned on certificate of manufacturing is not as per bid cover sheet. Certificate of manufacturing not verified. 3. Brand name mentioned on product registration certificate is not as per bid cover sheet. Product registration certificate not verified. 4. Manufacturer's authorization not attached. 5. Material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice not attached. 6. Certificate of country of origin not attached.	Dear Sir, Reference to the uploaded Technical Evaluation Report for the Procurement of Insecticides, we want to clarify that the documents mentioned in the report are already included in the submitted bidding documents. We are again attaching these documents for your satisfaction. Hope this will satisfy your requirement.	No representative from M/s Tufail Enterprises attended the meeting. The committee examined the documents submitted by the firm along with its grievance application in light of the advertised Evaluation Criteria & Specifications and announced the Technical Evaluation Report. The committee after due deliberation and discussion decided that: 1. The firm did not address the objection regarding tax payer status of the bidder which is Non-active on FBR active taxpayer list; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 2. The firm did not provide any documentary clarification of brand name mentioned on certificate of manufacturing which is not as per bid cover sheet & certificate of manufacturing is verified; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 3. The firm did not provide any documentary clarification of brand name mentioned on product registration certificate which is not as per bid cover sheet & product registration certificate of the quoted item is verified; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 4. The firm did not provide manufacturer's authorization from the manufacturer for the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 5. The firm did not provide material safety data sheet, packing list, commercial invoice of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted to the extent of this parameter. 6. The firm did not provide certificate of country of origin of the quoted item; hence, the grievance of the firm was not accepted.