



PURCHASE CELL

DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH
SERVICES PUNJAB
24-COOPER ROAD, LAHORE



Primary & Secondary
Healthcare Department

Phone No.: [+924299201145](tel:+924299201145)

Purchase Cell E-mail: pcdghslahore@gmail.com

MINUTES OF MEETING OF THE GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE HELD ON FEB 04, 2019 AT 10.00 A.M TO REDRESS THE GRIEVANCES OF THE FIRMS AGAINST TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT OF TOP-UP DRUGS/MEDICINES & MEDICAL DEVICES DURING FY 2018-2019, DIRECTORATE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, PUNJAB

A meeting of the Grievance Redressal Committee was held on 04-02-2019 at 10.00 A.M in committee room of Directorate General Health Services, Punjab, to redress the grievance applications submitted by the aggrieved firms, as per Rule 67 of Punjab Procurement Rules, 2014 (Amended), for the procurement of Drugs/Medicines & Medical Devices during FY 2018-2019, DGHS.

Following members of Grievance Redressal Committee attended the meeting:

Sr. No.	Participants	
1.	Dr. Shahnaz Naeem, Director Health Services (CDC), DGHS	Chairman/Convener
2.	Dr. Abdul Jabbar, Senior Medical Officer (EPI), DGHS	Member
3.	Senior Law Officer (Litigation Cell), DGHS	Member

Following member(s) presented the cases on behalf of the Technical Scrutiny / Bid Evaluation Committee:

Sr. No.	Member(s)
1.	Pharmacist, Purchase Cell, DGHS

The Chair welcomed all the participants and briefed about agenda of meeting i.e. Grievance Redressal of firms against technical evaluation report of Drugs/Medicines & Medical Devices during Fiscal Year 2018-2019 for Directorate General Health Services, Punjab.

The Chair instructed the representatives of aggrieved firms to come one by one serial wise based on receipt of grievance applications so that proper hearing/ redressal of grievance may be ensured. The grievances of firms and decisions of grievance redressal committee are as follow:

Minutes of Meeting of Grievance Redressal for Drugs/Medicines (Local Manufacturers)

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
1	19	Tab. Metformin 500mg	Abbott Laboratories (Pakistan) Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid security is cumulative for all items. Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated is for last one year Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that it has purchased stamp paper from legal vendor. Its verification by notary public on the required undertaking "non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch of quoted product" was misunderstood by it. The firm has attached the undertaking for spurious/adulterated notarized and requested for consideration.	Representative of the firm, Mr. Sulman appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and found that undertaking submitted by the firm is notarized. The firm representative himself admitted before the committee that the sale of the quoted item was 25% of the advertised quantity. So, 5 Marks are awarded to firm and hence total marks of the firm became 31. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. However, the status of quoted item of firm remain as " Non-responsive " as it did not obtain qualifying marks.
2	5	Tab. Ibuprofen 400mg (film/sugar coated)	Abbott Laboratories (Pakistan) Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid security is cumulative for all items Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated is not notarized	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that it has purchased stamp paper from legal vendor. Its verification by notary public on the required undertaking "non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch of quoted product" was misunderstood by it. The firm has attached the undertaking for spurious/adulterated notarized and requested for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Sulman appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and found that undertakings submitted by the firm is notarized. Therefore, its grievance is accepted. As the firm fulfilled the marking criteria so the status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
3	3	Cap/Tab. Diclofenac Sodium 50mg	Asian Continental (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Less marks given in the category of experience of quoted product as Tab. Voren is rated number 2 in IMS data. ii. 3 marks were not given in waste water treatment plant. iii. 5 marks not given in technical staff iv. 5 marks not given in API source as their API source is FDA approved <p>It has attached relevant documents and requested for re-evaluation.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Humayun appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and it was found that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Claim regarding sale of quoted item is verified. Hence, 20 marks given instead of 5 marks. ii. Documents/SOPs submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not as per requirement/standard format. iii. The documents submitted by firm regarding technical staff were accepted. Hence, 3 marks given to firm in this regard. iv. Source of API is FDA approved. Hence, 5 marks given instead of 3. <p>The firm qualified the marking criteria. Keeping in view the above mentioned facts the grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of the above mentioned points i, iii & iv and the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>
4	40	Inj. Tranexemic Acid 500mg/5ml	Asian Continental (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. 5 marks not given in the category of experience of quoted product as it has sale 25% of advertised quantity. ii. 3 marks not given in waste water treatment plant. iii. 5 marks not given in the category of technical staff. <p>It has requested for re-evaluation in light of documents submitted along with grievance.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Humayun appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Additional 3 marks given for technical staff. ii. Documents/SOPs submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not as per requirement/standard format. iii. After evaluating 5 marks given as sale of quoted product is 25% of advertised quantity. <p>Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the above mentioned points i & iii but status of this item remains "Non-responsive" as firm did not obtain qualifying marks.</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
5	14	Inj. Dexamethasone 4mg/ml	Bosch Pharmaceuticals (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. As per routine practice, the CDR amount on the technical bid copy is used to be hidden by the firm. The firm has requested to accept as it has attached original CDR along with financial bid which can be verified.	Representative of the firm Mr. Haq Nawaz and Mr. shahid Iqbal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
6	21	Inf. Metronidazole 500mg/100ml	Bosch Pharmaceuticals (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. As per routine practice, the CDR amount on the technical bid copy is used to be hidden by the firm. The firm has requested to accept as it has attached original CDR along with financial bid which can be verified.	Representative of the firm Mr. Haq Nawaz and Mr. shahid Iqbal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
7	40	Inj. Tranexemic Acid 500mg/5ml	Bosch Pharmaceuticals (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. As per routine practice, the CDR amount on the technical bid copy is used to be hidden by the firm. The firm has requested to accept as it has attached original CDR along with financial bid which can be verified.	Representative of the firm Mr. Haq Nawaz and Mr. shahid Iqbal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of the quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
8	4	Inj. Diclofenac Sodium 75mg/3ml	Brookes Pharma Private Limited	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached original CDR with financial bid and copy of CDR (hidden amount) was attached with technical bid. ii. The firm has also attached documents of technical staff and logistic system along with bid for which they were not given marks. It has requested for acceptance of grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Adnan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. ii. Documents submitted by the firm regarding technical staff and logistic system accepted by the committee. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
9	24	Skin Solution Povidone-Iodine 10%	Brookes Pharma Private Limited	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified. Undertaking not notarized	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached original CDR with financial bid and copy of CDR (hidden amount) was attached with technical bid. ii. The firm has also attached documents of technical staff and logistic system along with bid for which it was not given marks. It has requested for acceptance of grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Adnan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. ii. Documents submitted by the firm regarding technical staff and logistic system accepted by the committee. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
10	26	Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg/2ml	CCL Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. The firm has attached original CDR with financial bid and copy of CDR (hidden amount) was attached with technical bid. The firm is requested for acceptance of grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Asif and Mr. faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in light of documents submitted. i. The copy of CDR submitted by the firm without hiding amount was found 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
11	31	Inf. Normal Saline 0.9%. Bottle of 1000ml	Frontier Dextrose Limited	Non-Responsive	Valid DRC not attached	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. DRC was already attached with technical bid and are attaching again for reference. The firm has requested to accept and give them chance for health competition.	Representative of the firm Mr. Usman Asghar appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The committee noticed that attached Drug registration renewal application submitted to DRAP after 7 months of expiry of drug registration certificate. It is clearly mentioned in Drug (registration, licencing and advertising) rules 1976 under section 27 in which the firm must apply for registration within 60 days of expiry of DRC which was meant to be submitted on or before 20 october 2013. The firm had submitted renewal application of DRC in DRAP on 04-03-2014. The representative of the firm could not justify the committee about the late submission of renewal application and renewal fee. After due delibration it was decided to reject the grievance of the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Non-Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
12	21	Inf. Metronidazole 500mg/100ml	Frontier Dextrose Limited	Non-responsive	Does not comply with the advertised specifications	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. According to Annex-C of prequalification documents product mentioned at sr. 21 is Inf. metronidazole 500mg/100ml.Glass bottle/polypack of 100ml individually packed in carton with hanger.But in revised request for proposal issued on 11-01-2019, technical specification was revised and word polypack was removed.The firm is manufacturing Metronidazole with medical grade polypropylene which is being preferred world wide for IV fluids and introduced first time in pakistan by the firm.</p> <p>Medical grade polypropylene and glass are almost of identical characteristics which are found suitable for drugs closure system and storage. FDL is largest IV fluids company and has supplied over 325million bottles to various institutes without complaint.Moreover Fresenius Kabi Germany and B.Braun Germany also making antibiotic metronidazole in polypropylene.Keeping in view the above, firm has requested to evaluate again and give them chance for healthy competition.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Usman Asghar appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance.The grievance of the firm was examined in light of technical specification of quoted item mentioned in bidding documents. In revised RFP issued after pre-bid meeting it was clearly mentioned the specification as "Inf. Metronidazole 500mg/100ml Glass bottle of 100ml.Individually packed in cartoon with hanger". Therefore, the grievance of the firm is rejected and status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
13	41	Cap. Tranexemic Acid 500mg	Genix Pharma Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Period of last three years mentioned in undertaking for spurious/ adulterated. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. No tenure was mentioned in the undertaking of blacklisting in the bidding documents and they mentioned last three years of non-blacklisting. ii. Technical staff of genix pharma is highly qualified. The firm has attached following documents for acceptance of grievance: a. Undertaking regarding blacklisting b. Technical staff detail and degrees c. Sale summaries of the product	Representative of the firm Mr. Nauman appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined in detail. i. It was found that the undertaking submitted by the firm was not notarized. ii. Documents regarding technical staff were accepted. Hence, 4 marks given for technical staff. iii. Moreover, the firm claimed regarding sale of product was not verified. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i & ii. However, the status of quoted item of firm remain "Non-responsive" as the firm did not obtain qualifying marks.
14	7	Cap/Tab. Ciprofloxacin 500mg	Getz Pharma (Pvt) Limited	Non-Responsive	Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated is not notarized. Undertaking for balcklisting/ debarred is not notarized.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and requested to review the technical evaluation in light of following documents submitted along with grievance: i. Undertaking of spurious/adulterated notarized. ii. Undertaking of Blacklisting by any procurig agency. iii. Sale of their product is equal to 25% of advertised quantity. iv. Detail of technical staff.	Representative of the firm Mr. Tanveer Ahmed and Mr. Dastagir Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined as follow: i. Undertakings submitted by the firm was found notarized. ii. Sale of quoted product was re-verified and found to be less than 25%. iii. Appointment letters of technical staff were provided and accepted so 5 marks given for this. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. to the extent of above mentioned points i & iii Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" as the firm obtained qualifying marks.
15	22	Cap. Omeprazole 20mg	Getz Pharma (Pvt) Limited	Non-Responsive	Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated is not notarized. Undertaking for balcklisting/ debarred is not notarized.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and requested to review the technical evaluation in light of following documents submitted along with grievance. i. Undertaking of spurious/adulterated notarized. ii. Undertaking of Blacklisting by any procurig agency.	Representative of the firm Mr. Tanveer Ahmed and Mr. Dastagir Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined. i. Undertakings submitted by the firm were found notarized. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
16	1	Tab. Paracetamol 500 mg	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attach CDR with technical bid. However, copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference. ii. Calpol is GSK originator brand. It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference. iii. Firm is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015, ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK. iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference. v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attached copies of relavent documents for reference.	Representative of the firm Mr. Nauman Khan and Mr. Jalal bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents: i. Copy of 2% bid security. ii. Undertaking of originator brand,1 mark given. iii. ISO 9001,18001,14001(9 marks awarded). iv. Undertaking regarding quality of product(10 marks awarded). However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Total marks of the firm became 50. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii & iv Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
17	2	Syp./Susp. Paracetamol 120mg / 5ml	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	<p>Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems.</p> <p>Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.</p>	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attach CDR with technical bid. However, copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Calpol is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. It is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015, ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,1400. (9 marks awarded).</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product. (10 marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. 1 mark given for originator.</p> <p>iv. Total marks of the firm became 50.</p> <p>Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii & iv. However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
18	8	Cap/Tab. Amoxicillin (as Trihydrate) 500mg + Clavulanic acid (as potassium) 125mg	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However, copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Augmentin is GSK originator brand. It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. GSK is ISO certified and It has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. GSK have already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance.Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001(9 marks awarded).</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product. (10 marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. 1 mark given for originator.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 50.</p> <p>Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii& iv.However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
19	10	Cap/Tab. Co-trimoxazole (Sulphamethoxazole 800 mg + Trimethoprim 160 mg)	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Septran DS is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. Firm is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.They have approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001(9 marks awarded) .</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product (10 marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. 1 mark given for originator brand undertaking. Total marks of the firm became 50.</p> <p>Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii& iv. However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
20	11	Susp. Co-trimoxazole (Sulphamethoxazole 400mg + Trimethoprim 80mg)/5ml	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Septran is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. GSK have already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.They have attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001(9 marks awarded)</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product (10 marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. 1 mark given for originator brand undertaking. Total marks of the firm became 50.</p> <p>Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i ,ii,iii& iv. However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
21	12	Cap/Tab. Amoxicillin 500mg	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	<p>Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems.</p> <p>Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.</p>	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Amoxil is GSK originator brand. It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. GSK have already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.</p> <p>vi. GSK has been given 10 marks in experince while their sale is more than 100million in private sector.GSK have also sold 70million capsule in DGHS and P&SHD.They have attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security</p> <p>ii. Sale of the quoted product is more than advertised quantity (20 marks given instead of 10).</p> <p>iii. ISO 9001,18001,14001(9 marks given for ISO certificates).</p> <p>iv. Undertaking regarding quality of product(10 marks awarded).</p> <p>v. 1 mark given for originator brand undertaking.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 50.</p> <p>Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii,iv & v.However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
22	13	Susp. Amoxicillin 250mg/5ml	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Amoxil fort is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. It has been given 10 marks in experince but its sale in public and private sector is equivalent to advertised quantity. It has also sold 4.573 million packs in private and 3.5 million in DGHS and P&SHD.</p> <p>iv. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>v. Undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH already attached and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>vi. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p> <p>vii. We also have grievance againt M/s Macter International as the firm does not have 25% sale of advertisement quantity.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001 (9 marks awarded)</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product. (10 Marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. Sale of the quoted product is 50% of advertised quantity. Hence, 10 marks given to firm are correct.</p> <p>v. 1 mark given for undertaking of originator brand</p> <p>The grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii, iv & v.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 39. Howevrr, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm</p> <p>Hence, the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p> <p>The grievance of the firm against M/s Macter International was was examined and sale of the firm calculated (from Institutional purchase orders and Invoices attached in bid) which was found to be 25% of advertised quantity as claimed by the firm. Hence, grievance of the firm against M/s Macter International is rejected.</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
23	15	Tab. Chlorphenirami ne maleate 4mg	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	<p>Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems.</p> <p>Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.</p> <p>Does not obtain qualifying marks</p>	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Piriton is GSK originator brand. It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. It has been given 15 marks in experince but its sale in private sector is 284 million.</p> <p>4 GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>v. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>vi. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii.</p> <p>The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security</p> <p>ii. Sale of the quoted product is more than advertised qunanity. 20 marks given for this instead of 15.</p> <p>iii. ISO 9001,18001,14001 (9 marks awarded).</p> <p>iv. Undertaking regarding quality of product(10 marks awarded).</p> <p>v. 1 mark given for undertaking of originator brand. total marks of the firm became 40.</p> <p>Therefore, The grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii ,iv & v.However, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
24	30	Tab. Salbutamol 4mg	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	<p>Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems.</p> <p>Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.</p> <p>Does not obtain qualifying marks</p>	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. Ventoline is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource. It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001. 9 marks given for this.</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding quality of product. 10 marks given for this.</p> <p>iv. 1 mark given for undertaking of originator brand. total marks of the firm became 49.</p> <p>Therefore,the grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii,iii & iv. Moreover, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
25	42	Cap./Tab. Ferrous Salt + Folic Acid	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	<p>Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems.</p> <p>Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper.</p>	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid. It was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference.</p> <p>ii. FefolVit is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>iii. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK.</p> <p>iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference.</p> <p>v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Copy of 2% bid security.</p> <p>ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001. 9 marks given for this.</p> <p>iii. Undertaking regarding substandard batch of quoted item. 10 marks given for this.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 49.</p> <p>Therefore,the grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii & iii. Moreover, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
26	43	Susp. Albendazole 200mg/5ml	GlaxoSmithKline Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Only undertaking provided on firm's letterhead for logistics systems. Quoted product declared sub-standard by DTL / NIH are less than 1% during last Financial Year not on Rs 100 stamp paper. Affidavit for source of API not attached.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. As per evaluation criteria It has attached 2% bid security alongwith financial bid.it was never mentioned in evaluation criteria to attache CDR with technical bid. However,copy of same CDR is hereby attached for reference. ii. Zentel is GSK originator brand.It has already undertook same and attaching again for reference. iii. GSK is ISO certified and has attached ISO certificates 9001-2015,ISO 14001 and ISO 18001 of manufacturing sites of GSK. iv. It has already attached undertaking regarding substandard by DTL/NIH and attaching again for reference. v. GSK Pakistan having huge technical human resource.It has approximatly 90 pharmacist at three manufacturing sites.These facts were verified by the department during prequalification 2016-17&1viii. The issuance of manufacturing licence is linked with availability of pharmacist.It has attaced copies of relavent documents for reference.	Representative of the firm Mr. Jalal Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the following documents: i. Copy of 2% bid security. ii. ISO 9001,18001,14001. iii. Undertaking regarding substandard batch of quoted item 10 marks given for this. Total marks of the firm became 49. Therefore,the grievance of the firm accepted to the extent of above mentioned points i,ii & iii. Moreover, appointment letters of the technical staff not provided by the firm. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
27	26	Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg/2ml	Global Pharmaceuticals (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Copy of undertaking attached instead of original stamp paper.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached stamp paper undertaking in tender documents and attaching again for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Kashif Rafique appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and grievance committee accepted the Undertakings submmitted by the firm.Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
28	9	Inj. Ceftriaxone (as sodium) I.V 1g	Global Pharmaceuticals (Pvt.) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Does not comply with the advertised specifications. No leaflet.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non-responsive. Leaflet is printed inside the outer carton. It has submitted sufficient data in the tender. It has attached contract with TCS which is registered with SECP. It has already submitted the qualified person data.	Representative of the firm Mr. Kashif Rafique appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firms was examined and it was found that the leaflet was not available in packing as per technical specification. Therefore, the grievance of the firm was rejected. Hence,,the status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
29	23	Inj. Oxytocin 5 IU/ml	Indus Pharma Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of CDR along with technical bid but as per routine practice hide the CDR amount on the technical bid copy. It has asked to accept the request and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Zubair and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee found and accepted the Copy of CDR submitted by the firm as 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
30	25	ORS (Oral Rehydration Salt) Sachet (WHO Formulation- Low Osmolality)	Indus Pharma Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of CDR along with technical bid but as per routine practice it hide the CDR amount on the technical bid copy. It has appealed to accept request and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Zubair and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee found and accepted the Copy of CDR submitted by the firm as 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
31	26	Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg/2ml	Indus Pharma Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of CDR along with technical bid but as per routine practice it hide the CDR amount on the technical bid copy. It has appealed to accept request and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Zubair and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee found and accepted the Copy of CDR submitted by the firm as 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
32	9	Inj. Ceftriaxone (as sodium) I.V 1g	Macter International Limited	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of bank guarantee along with technical bid but as per routine practice it hide the bank guarantee amount on the technical bid copy. It has appealed to accept request and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Mumtaz Zaidi and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee found and accepted the Copy of bank guarantee submitted by the firm as 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
33	13	Susp. Amoxicillin 250mg/5ml	Macter International Limited	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of bank guarantee along with technical bid but as per routine practice it hide the bank guarantee amount on the technical bid copy. It has applead to accept request and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Mumtaz Zaidi and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee found and accepted the Copy of bank guarantee submitted by the firm as 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" . Moreover, the firm submitted affidavit in this regard that at any stage if the claimed sales of the firm are not verified, the bid of the firm may be rejected.
34	12	Cap/Tab. Amoxicillin 500mg	Macter International Limited	Non-Responsive	2% bid security could not be verified	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that": i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of bank guarantee along with technical bid but as per routine practice we hide the bank guarantee amount on the technical bid copy. ii. Sale of quoted item is above 25% of advertised quantity. It has requested to re-evaluate the sale and declare as responsive.	Representative of the firm Mr. Mumtaz Zaidi and Mr. Faisal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Copy of bank guarantee submitted by the firm was examined and found that it was 2% of the estimated cost. Hence, grievance of the firm is accepted in this regard. The representative of the firm admitted himself before the committee that the sale of quoted item is not 25% of advertised quantity. Hence, Grievance of the firm regarding sale of quoted item is rejected. Therefore, the status of the quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive" .
35	19	Tab. Metformin 500mg	Martin Dow Marker Ltd	Non-Responsive	Period of last one year mentioned in undertaking of spurious/ adulterated. 2% bid security could not be verified.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached 2% bid security in the form of bank guarantee along with technical bid but as per routine practice we hide the bank guarantee amount on the technical bid copy. ii. It has mentioned Period of last one year in undertaking of spurious/ adulterated as no duration was mentioned in bidding documents. Now It has attached the required documents and requested to accept the grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Muhammad Farukh Javaid and Mr. Adnan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee was examined and accepted the following documents: i. Undertaking submmitted by the firm ii. Copy of CDR submitted by the firm was found 2% of estimated cost. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
36	32	Tab. MiSOPsrostol 200mcg	Nabiqasim Industries (Private) Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. It has not been given marks for valid ISO18001. It has attached said certificate and requested for additional marks for healthy competition.	Representative of the firm Mr. Asim and Mr. Bilal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the ISO certificate. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
37	18	Tab. Amlodipine besylate 5mg	Nabiqasim Industries (Private) Limited	Non-Responsive	Period of last one year mentioned in undertaking of spurious/ adulterated. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been given less marks. Sale of product is more than 50% of the advertised quantity in private/public sector. It has attached orders/sale summary with technical bid. ii. It has attached valid ISO18001. iii. we also undertake that there is not a single batch has been declared substandard/spurious/adulterated by DTLs of the punjab/any competent lab of quoted item/applied item within last one year. iv. It has also attached accelerated study. Kindly consider our documents and declare us as responsive for healthy competition.	Representative of the firm Mr. Asim and Mr. Bilal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following: i. The claim of firm regarding sale of quoted item shows sale 50% of the advertised quantity. Hence, 10 marks given in this category. ii. ISO 18001 submitted by the firm was accepted. 3 marks awarded for this category. iii. Moreover, provided stability study was not within stipulated time. The grievance of the firm to the extent of sale and ISO certificate is accepted. Therefore, after adding 13 marks, status of the quoted item of the firm becomes "Responsive" .
38	18	Tab. Amlodipine besylate 5mg	Pfizer Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Undertaking for blacklisted not notarized. Bid security is cumulative for all items	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and requested for consideration of grievance in light of following documents attached with grievance application: i. Undertaking regarding non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch duly verified by notary public. ii. Undertaking stating that company is not currently blacklisted or debarred by any procuring agency. iii. It has attached copy of 2% bid security.	Representative of the firm Mr. Atif Rana and Mr. Sajid appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and the committee accepted the following documents: i. Undertaking regarding non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch verified by notary public. ii. Undertaking regarding blacklisting/debarred by any procuring agency. iii. 2% of bid security. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, the status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
39	44	Tab. RH (150/75) (Rifampicin + Isoniazid) Two drug combination of Rifampicin 150mg + Isoniazid 75mg	Pfizer Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not comply with the advertised specifications WHO study not attached. Bid security is cumulative for all items	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and requested for consideration of grievance in light of following documents attached with grievance application. i. It conforms to the specification of the item are in line with those advertised in RFP. ii. It has attached bioequivalence study with bid and copy with this grievance. The same has also been at clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/result?cond=RIN+150&term=&country=&state=city=&dist= iii. It has attached copy of 2% bid security. Moreover according to WHO guideline we are only manufacturer of this combination. Furthermore, our experience in private/public sector is higher than advertised quantity. It has requested for acceptance of grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Atif Rana and Mr. sajid appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. grievance of the firm was examined and the committee accepted the following documents: i. Bioequivalence study/Publication of study on WHO website. ii. Undertaking regarding non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch verified by notary public. iii. Undertaking regarding blacklisting/debar by any procuring agency. iv. 2% of bid security. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
40	45	Tab. RHZE (150/75/400/275) (Rifampicin + Isoniazid + Pyrazinamide + Ethambutol) Four drug combination of Rifampicin 150mg + Isoniazid 75mg + Pyrazinamide 400mg + Ethambutol 275mg	Pfizer Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not comply with the advertised specifications WHO study not attached. Bid security is cumulative for all items	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and requested for consideration of grievance in light of following documents attached with grievance application: i. It conforms to the specification of the item are in line with those advertised in RFP. ii. It has attached bioequivalence study with bid and copy with this grievance. The same has also been at clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/result?cond=Myrin+P+Forte&term=&country=&state=city=&dist= ii. It has attached copy of 2% bid security. iii. MyrinP Fort is originator brand of firm. Therefore, it should be given 6 marks under the criteria. iv. It has experience more than advertised quantity in private sector. we are requested for reevaluation of bid.	Representative of the firm Mr. Atif Rana and Mr. sajid appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and the committee accepted the following documents: i. Bioequivalence study/Publication of study on WHO website. ii. Undertaking regarding non-declaration of any spurious/adulterated batch verified by notary public. iii. Undertaking regarding blacklisting/debarred by any procuring agency. iv. 2% of bid security. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, the status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
41	32	Tab. MiSOPsrostol 200mcg	Saffron Pharmaceuticals (Pvt) Ltd	Responsive		The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared responsive but given 10 less marks. It has requested to award full 20 marks in this category.	Representative of the firm Mr. Qamar Bhatti appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The claim of firm regarding sale of quoted item was not verified. However, the sale of quoted product is more than advertised quantity in private and public sector. Hence, 15 marks given for this. Total marks of the firm became 48. Hence, grievance of the firm accepted and the status of quoted item of firm remain as " Responsive ".
42	9	Inj. Ceftriaxone (as sodium) I.V 1g	Sanofi-Aventis Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. Technical committee pointed out that our stamp paper value is Rs.50 instead of 100 for spurious/adulterated undertaking. ii. Respectable committee also miscalculated number on sale figure,technical staff and stability studies. It has again submitting the same documents for satisfaction.	Representative of the firm Mr. Zia ur Rehman and Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The claim of firm regarding sale of quoted item was not verified. Both the representative of the firm accepted that they dont have sale even 25% of advertised quantity. No appointment letter of technical staff provided. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is rejected. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Non-responsive ".
43	19	Tab. Metformin 500mg	Sanofi-Aventis Pakistan Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid security is cumulative for all items Stamp paper of spurious/ adulterated is of Rs. 50 instead of Rs. 100 Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. Technical committee pointed out that our stamp paper value is Rs.50 instead of 100 for spurious/adulterated undertaking. ii. Respectable committee also miscalculated number on sale figure,technical staff and stability studies. The sale of neodipar is more than 1119000 packs and pack size 50tab/pack. As per their calculation their sale is 55959850 tablet which is more than 50% of advertised quantity. It has again submitting the same documents for satisfaction.	Representative of the firm Mr. Zia ur Rehman and Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and it was found that: i. Undertaking on Rs.100 stamp paper notarized. ii. The firm has 50% sale of advertised quantity. 10 marks given instead of 5. ii. The firm also submitted real time stability study data. 1 mark given for this category. Total marks of the firm became 41. Hence, grievance of the firm accepted and status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
44	22	Cap. Omeprazole 20mg	Scilife Pharma (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Stamp paper not attested by firm Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non-responsive due to shortage of following documents which It has submitted along with grievance and requested for consideration. i. Undertaking of blacklisting. ii. undertaking of spurious and adulterated drugs. iii. Experience of quoted product. iv. logistic system. v. credibility certificate. vi. Technical Staff. vii. 2% bid security.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imtiaz Qurashi appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined as follow: Copy of CDR submitted by the firm was found 2% of estimated cost. The undertaking submitted by the firm was found acceptable. Moreover, representative of the firm himself admitted that they have 24% of sale of advertised quantity. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is rejected. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Non-responsive ".
45	3	Cap/Tab. Diclofenac Sodium 50mg	Scilife Pharma (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	Stamp paper attached without Notary Public. Stamp paper not attested by firm.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non-responsive due to shortage of following documents which It has submitted along with grievance and requested for consideration. i. Undertaking of blacklisting. ii. undertaking of spurious and adulterated drugs. iii. Experience of quoted product. iv. logistic system. v. credibility certificate. vi. Technical Staff.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imtiaz Qurashi appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined as follow: Copy of CDR submitted by the firm was found 2% of estimated cost. The undertaking submitted by the firm was found acceptable as well. Moreover, representative of the firm himself admitted that they have 23% of sale of advertised quantity. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is rejected. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Non-responsive ".
46	29	Inf. Ringer Lactate. Bottle of 1000ml	Searle IV Solutions (Pvt) Limited	Non-Responsive	Date of January 2017 mentioned in undertaking of "Non-declaration of Spurious/ Adulterated batch" Copy of stamp paper attached for "Blacklisted/ Debarred" Bid Security attached is cumulative. Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached all relevant documents with technical bid as all technical staff data with their appointment letter which is more than required technical staff mentioned in technical evaluation criteria. ii. It has attached all undertaking on Rs.100 stamp paper including DTLs reports about substandard percentage in the last financial year. It has requested for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Mohsin appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined. Undertaking regarding blacklisting/debarred and spurious/adulterated was accepted. Undertaking regarding substandard batches of quoted item on Rs 100 stamp paper was accepted. Hence, 10 marks given. Total Marks of the firm became 42. Therefore, grievance of the firm was accepted. Hence, Status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as " Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
47	31	Inf. Normal Saline 0.9%. Bottle of 1000ml	Searle IV Solutions (Pvt) Limited	Non-Responsive	Date of January 2017 mentioned in undertaking of "Non-declaration of Spurious/ Adulaterated batch" Copy of stamp paper attached for "Blacklisted/ Debarred" Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached all relevante documents with technical bid as all technical staff data with their appointment letter which is more than required technical staff mentioned in technical evaluation criteria. ii. It has attached all undertaking on Rs.100 stamp paper including DTLs reports about substandard percentage in the last financial year. It has requested for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Mohsin appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined. Undertaking regading blacklisting/debarred and spurious/adulterated was accepted. Undertaking regarding substandard batches of quoted item on Rs. 100 stamp paper was accepted. Hence, 10 makrs given. Total Marks of the firm became 42. Hence, grievance of the firm was accepted and status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
48	26	Inj. Metoclopramide 10mg/2ml	Surge Laboratories Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been given less marks in valid ISO 9001,ISO18001 and international certificate. It has requested for additional marks for healthy competition.	Representative of the firm Mr. Asim and Mr. Bilal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Submitted ISO 9001 and ISO 18001 were accepted. International certificate submitted by the firm was not as per criteria i.e. (WHO/UNICEF/JPMHLW/UNFPA/WFP/US-FDA/PICS). Hence, grievance of the firm acceted to the extent of ISO certificate and 6 marks given to the firm. Total marks of the firm became 41. Hence, status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .
49	4	Inj. Diclofenac Sodium 75mg/3ml	Surge Laboratories Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been given less marks in sale as our sale of quoted product is more than the advertised quantity in private sector as well as in Government sector.It has attached sale summary. ii. It has also attached valid ISO 9001,ISO18001 and international certificate and requested for additional marks for healthy competition.	Representative of the firm Mr. Asim and Mr. Bilal appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The claim of the firm regarding sale of quoted item was verified. Hence, 5 marks given. Submitted ISO 9001 and ISO 18001 were accepted. International certificate submitted by the firm was not as per criteria i.e. (WHO/UNICEF/JPMHLW/UNFPA/WFP/US-FDA/PICS). Total marks of the firm became 38. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
50	3	Cap/Tab. Diclofenac Sodium 50mg	The Searle Company Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid Security attached is cumulative ISO 9001-2015 certificate expired. Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Undertaking for blacklisting not notarized.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. The quoted product is of high value product and available for healthy competition in the market and we are exporting in the various country. It has already attached the following documents along with bid and enclosing again for consideration. i. Export certificate issued by DRAP. ii. It has total annual sale 2629520 which is 50% of advertised quantity. iii. Notarized Undertaking. iv. ISO 9001. v. OHAS 18001 certificate. vi. Tehcnical Staff list.	Representative of the firm Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Submitted ISO 9001 and ISO 18001 were accepted. 6 marks awarded for this. Representative of the firm himself admitted before the committee that they dont have 25% of market and institution sales as per advertised quantity. Appointment letter of technical staff were not provided. Therefore, grievance of the firm was rejected. Hence, status of the quoted item of the firm remains as " Non-responsive ".
51	16	Syp./Susp. Dimenhydrinate 1ii. 5mg/4ml	The Searle Company Limited	Non-Responsive	Specifications in DRC does not conform to the quoted product specifications Bid Security attached is cumulative Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Undertaking for blacklisting not notarized.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. The quoted product is of high value product and supplied to Health Dept. Govt. of Punjab since 2016-17 but due to confusion evaluation committee disqualified our product. ii. At the time of transfer of registraion label claim was written as 12.5/5ml (BP specification). Whereas this syrup is claimed 12.5mg/4ml as per international standard and label claim approved by US FDA. It has already attached the following documents for consideration: i. Copy of acknowledgement for correction in specification instead 5ml to 4ml ii. notarized Undertaking. iii. ISO 9001. iv. OHAS 18001 certificate. v. Technical staff list with degree.	Representative of the firm Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined. The documents submitted by the firm regarding change of label claim were accepted by the committee. Undertaking dually notarized and ISO 9001 and 18001 were accepted. Therefore, grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Responsive " with the provision that the firm undertake and provide quoted item in individually pack along with 4ml spoon and leaflet.

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
52	20	Tab. Metronidazole 400mg	The Searle Company Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid Security attached is cumulative. Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Undertaking for blacklisting not notarized. DML of the manufacturing plant (Lahore) not provided. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. The quoted product is of high value product and supplied to your esteemed organization since 2016-17 but due to confusion evaluation committee disqualified product. It has already attached the following documents along with bid and enclosing again for consideration. i. Notarized Undertaking. ii. ISO 9001. iii. OHAS 18001 certificate. iv. It has submitted DML with acknowledgement renewal of registration v. Its Total sale was 45920200.	Representative of the firm Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee examined the grievance of the firm and accepted the following documents: i. Sale of quoted item which is more than the advertised quantity so 20 marks awarded for this. ii. DML of lahore plant provided. iii. Notarized Undertaking submitted by the firm. iv. Documents submitted by the firm regarding one technical staff was accepted. Hence, 1 mark given. ISO certificate and other four technical staff documents submitted by the firm were of Karachi plant and were not accepted as product is being manufactured at Lahore plant. Total marks of the firm became 36. Hence, grievance of the firm accepted and status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as " Responsive ".
53	25	ORS (Oral Rehydration Salt) Sachet (WHO Formulation- Low Osmolality)	The Searle Company Limited	Non-Responsive	Bid Security attached is cumulative. Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized. Undertaking for blacklisting not notarized.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. The quoted product is of high value product and supplied to Health Dept. Govt. of Punjab since 2016-17 but due to confusion evaluation committee disqualified product. It has already attached the following documents along with bid and enclosing again for consideration. i. Notarized Undertaking. ii. ISO 9001. iii. OHAS 18001 certificate. iv. Submitting latest stability study. Old one is already submitted. v. Proof of pre-qualification.	Representative of the firm Mr. Munim Khan appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after deliberation accepted the following documents i. Notarized Undertaking of spurious and adulterated on Rs.100 stamp paper ii. Notarized Undertaking of blacklisting/ debar on Rs.100 stamp paper Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted and status of quoted item of firm is declared as " Responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
54	29	Inf. Ringer Lactate. Bottle of 1000ml	Unisa Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd	Non-Responsive	Copies of Stamp Paper for Rs 75 attached	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been disqualified due to undertaking on Rs.75 stamp paper instead of Rs.100. It has attached the undertaking on required stamp paper verified by notary and requested for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Waqas Javaid appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after deliberation accepted the following documents: i. Notarized Undertaking on Rs.100 stamp paper. ii. 5 marks awarded for Technical staff. Total marks of the firm became 43. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted and status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .
55	31	Inf. Normal Saline 0.9%. Bottle of 1000ml	Unisa Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd	Non-Responsive	Copies of Stamp Paper for Rs 75 attached Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been disqualified due to undertaking on Rs.75 stamp paper instead of 100. It has attached the undertaking on required stamp paper verified by notary and requested for consideration. ii. No marks have been awarded for technical staff. It has attached relevant documents for consideration. iii. Experience of product It has been given 15 marks instead of 20. It has attached single order of DGDP army of 950000 bottles and others also for consideration.	Representative of the firm Mr. Waqas Javaid appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after deliberation accepted the following documents: i. Notarized Undertaking on Rs.100 stamp paper ii. The claim of the firm regarding sale of quoted product. 15 marks given instead of 10. iii. Documents regarding technical staff. 5 marks awarded. Total marks of the firm became 45. Therefore, grievance of the firm is accepted and status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
56	3	Cap/Tab. Diclofenac Sodium 50mg	Vision Pharmaceuticals	Non-Responsive	Adulterated instead of sub-standard mentioned in stamp paper.Does not obtain qualifying marks.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has attached suffiecnt data attached with bid regarding sale of quoted product. ii. Undertaking regardin quality of product. iii. Documents for Waste water treatment plant iv. Real time stability data. We are attaching all documents again with grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Atiq ur rehman and Mr. Amjad appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after delibration accepted the following documents: i. Undertaking submitted by the firm regarding adulterated and substanderd batch on Rs 100 stamp paper dully notarized. 10 marks awarded. ii. Real time stability study record. iii. Documents regarding technical staff was accepted. Hence, 4 marks given for this. Documents submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not accepted as no required SOPss were provided. The representative of the firm himself accepted that they donot have sale of the quoted item eligible for obtainig any marks. Total marks of the firm became 29. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm remains as " Non-responsive ".

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
57	4	Inj. Diclofenac Sodium 75mg/3ml	Vision Pharmaceuticals	Non-Responsive	Adulterated instead of sub-standard mentioned in stamp paper. Does not obtain qualifying marks.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. It has attached sufficient data attached with bid regarding sale of quoted product.</p> <p>ii. Undertaking regarding quality of product.</p> <p>iii. Documents for Waste water treatment plant</p> <p>iv. Real time stability data.</p> <p>We are attaching all documents again with grievance.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Atiq ur rehman and Mr. Amjad appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after deliberation accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Undertaking submitted by the firm regarding adulterated and substandard batch on Rs.100 stamp paper duly notarized. 10 marks awarded.</p> <p>ii. Real time stability study record.</p> <p>iii. Documents regarding technical staff was accepted. Hence, 4 marks given for this.</p> <p>Documents submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not accepted as no required SOPs was provided.</p> <p>The representative of the firm himself accepted that they do not have sale of the quoted item required for obtaining marks.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 33.</p> <p>Hence, the status of quoted item of firm remain "Non-responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
58	22	Cap. Omeprazole 20mg	Vision Pharmaceuticals	Non-Responsive	Adulterated instead of sub-standard mentioned in stamp paper. Does not obtain qualifying marks.	<p>The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that:</p> <p>i. It has attached sufficient data attached with bid regarding sale of quoted product.</p> <p>ii. Undertaking regarding quality of product.</p> <p>iii. Documents for Waste water treatment plant</p> <p>iv. Real time stability data.</p> <p>We are attaching all documents again with grievance.</p>	<p>Representative of the firm Mr. Atiq ur rehman and Mr. Amjad appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after deliberation accepted the following documents:</p> <p>i. Undertaking submitted by the firm regarding adulterated and substandard batch on Rs.100 stamp paper duly notarized. 10 marks awarded.</p> <p>ii. Real time stability study record.</p> <p>iii. Documents regarding technical staff was accepted. Hence, 4 marks given for this.</p> <p>Documents submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not accepted as no required SOPs was provided.</p> <p>The representative of the firm himself accepted that they do not have sale of the quoted item required for obtaining marks.</p> <p>Total marks of the firm became 31.</p> <p>Hence, the status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive".</p>

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
59	28	Inj. Hydrocortisone sodium succinate 250 mg, (Dry Powder)	Vision Pharmaceuticals	Non-Responsive	Adulterated instead of sub-standard mentioned in stamp paper Undertaking for spurious/ adulterated not notarized.Does not obtain qualifying marks.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. It has attached suffiecnt data attached with bid regarding sale of quoted product. ii. Undertaking regardin quality of product. iii. Documents for Waste water treatment plant iv. Real time stability data. We are attaching all documents again with grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Atiq ur rehman and Mr. Amjad appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. The grievance of the firm was examined and committee after delibration accepted the following documents: i. Undertaking submitted by the firm regarding adulterated and substanderd batch on Rs.100 stamp paper dully notarized. 10 marks awarded. ii. Real time stability study record. iii. Documents regarding technical staff was accepted. Hence, 4 marks given for this. Documents submitted by the firm regarding waste water treatment plant was not accepted as no required SOPs was provided. The representative of the firm himself accepted that they donot have sale of the quoted item required for obtaining marks. Total marks of the firm became 31. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive" .
60	4	Inj. Diclofenac Sodium 75mg/3ml	Wilshire Labs (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	ISO certificate expired. 2% bid security could not be verified.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non responsive due to Expired ISO certificate and 2% bid security verification. The firm is ISO certified company and its ISO were in phase of renewal. The same has been issued and we are submitting it with grievance. ii. It has attached bid security with financial proposal. Copy was attached with Technical but due to secrecy reason we hide the amount.It has attached 2% bid security copy. iii. It has already provided layout plan and SOPss for waste water treatment plant. It has attached it again for ready reference and requested to accept the grievance and give marks in these catogories.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imran and Mr. Nasrullah appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following documents: i. Bid security submitted by the firm was found 2% of the estimated cost. ii. ISO certificate 14001 iii. ISO 9001 iv. ISO 18001 v. Waste water treatment plant. 9 marks given for ISO certificates. 3 marks for waste water treatment plant.Total marks of the firm became 37. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, the status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as "Responsive" .

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
61	9	Inj. Ceftriaxone (as sodium) I.V 1g	Wilshire Labs (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	ISO certificate expired. 2% bid security could not be verified.	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that i. It has been declared non responsive due to Expired ISO certificate and 2% bid security verification. The firm is ISO certified company and its ISO were in phase of renewal. The same has been issued and we are submitting it with grievance. ii. It has attached bid security with financial proposal.Copy was attached with Technical but due to secrecy reason we hide the amount.It has attached 2% bid security copy. iii. It has already provided layout plan and SOPs for waste water treatment plant. It has attached it again for ready reference and requested to accept the grievance and give marks in these catogories.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imran and Mr. Nasrullah appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following documents: i. Bid security submitted by the firm was found 2% of the estimated cost. ii. ISO certificate 14001 iii. ISO 9001 iv. ISO 18001 v. Waste water treatment plant. 9 marks given for ISO certificates. 3 marks for waste water treatment plant.Total marks of the firm became 37. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
62	18	Tab. Amlodipine besylate 5mg	Wilshire Labs (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	ISO certificate expired. 2% bid security could not be verified. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non responsive due to Expired ISO certificate and 2% bid security verification. The firm is ISO certified company and its ISO were in phase of renewal. The same has been issued and we are submitting it with grievance. ii. It has attached bid security with financial proposal.Copy was attached with Technical but due to secrecy reason we hide the amount.It has attached 2% bid security copy. iii. It has already provided layout plan and SOPs for waste water treatment plant. It has attached it again for ready reference and requested to accept the grievance and give marks in these catogories.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imran and Mr. Nasrullah appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following documents: i. Bid security submitted by the firm was found 2% of the estimated cost. ii. ISO certificate 14001 iii. ISO 9001 iv. ISO 18001 v. Waste water treatment plant. 9 marks given for ISO certificates. 3 marks for waste water treatment plant.Total marks of the firm became 35. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. However, the status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive"

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
63	32	Tab. MiSOPsrostol 200mcg	Wilshire Labs (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	ISO certificate expired. 2% bid security could not be verified. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non responsive due to Expired ISO certificate and 2% bid security verification. The firm is ISO certified company and its ISO were in phase of renewal. The same has been issued and we are submitting it with grievance. ii. It has attached bid security with financial proposal. Copy was attached with Technical but due to secrecy reason we hide the amount. It has attached 2% bid security copy. iii. It has already provided layout plan and SOPs for waste water treatment plant. It has attached it again for ready reference and requested to accept the grievance and give marks in these categories.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imran and Mr. Nasrullah appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following documents: i. Bid security submitted by the firm was found 2% of the estimated cost. ii. ISO certificate 14001 iii. ISO 9001 iv. ISO 18001 v. Waste water treatment plant. 9 marks given for ISO certificates. 3 marks for waste water treatment plant. Total marks of the firm became 37. Therefore, the grievance of the firm accepted. Hence, the status of quoted item of firm is declared as "Responsive" .
64	40	Inj. Tranexemic Acid 500mg/5ml	Wilshire Labs (Pvt) Ltd	Non-Responsive	ISO certificate expired. 2% bid security could not be verified. Does not obtain qualifying marks	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has been declared non responsive due to Expired ISO certificate and 2% bid security verification. The firm is ISO certified company and its ISO were in phase of renewal. The same has been issued and we are submitting it with grievance. ii. It has attached bid security with financial proposal. Copy was attached with Technical but due to secrecy reason we hide the amount. It has attached 2% bid security copy. iii. It has already provided layout plan and SOPs for waste water treatment plant. It has attached it again for ready reference and requested to accept the grievance and give marks in these categories.	Representative of the firm Mr. Imran and Mr. Nasrullah appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance of the firm was examined and committee accepted the following documents: i. Bid security submitted by the firm was found 2% of the estimated cost. ii. ISO certificate 14001 iii. ISO 9001 iv. ISO 18001 v. Waste water treatment plant. 9 marks given for ISO certificates. 3 marks for waste water treatment plant. Total marks of the firm became 34. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. However, the status of quoted item of firm remains as "Non-responsive" .

Minutes of Meeting of Grievance Redressal for Drugs/Medicines (Sole Agent of Foreign Principle)

Sr.	T.E No.	Item Name	Firm Name	Result as per Technical Evaluation Report	Remarks/Reasons of Rejection	Grievance Submitted by the Firm	Decision of the Committee
1	27	Inj. Insulin 70/30 W/V (Human) (30% soluble insulin & 70% Isophane insulin) 100 IU/ml	Novo Nordisk Pharma (Pvt) Ltd Karachi Pakistan	Non-Responsive	Undertaking not notarized	The firm has submitted the grievance against the technical evaluation report and stated that: i. It has submitted the required notarized undertaking. Kindly consider its grievance.	Representative of the firm Mr. Tariq appeared before the committee and briefed about the grievance. Grievance committee examined the grievance and accepted the notarized undertaking. Therefore, the grievance of the firm is accepted. Hence, status of the quoted item of the firm is declared as " Responsive ".